Analyzing your game performance using Event Tracing for Windows

Event Tracing for Windows (ETW) is a kernel-level tracing mechanism that logs various system events to a log file. This log can then be viewed to debug your application or determine where performance issues are happening. These events are generated by system components called providers, which make it possible to capture very fine, detailed pieces of data that can be used to analyze program performance characteristics.

Event Tracing for Windows is supported on all Windows-based platforms, and can be used to profile the Unity Editor, Standalone players and Windows Store players running on all PCs and devices.

Profiling using Event Tracing for Windows is a two-step process:

- 1. Run an application and record the trace log (this is carried out on the target machine)
- 2. Analyze the trace log (this is carried out on the developer's machine)

Running Event Tracing for Windows on a PC allows both event log capture and analysis on the same machine. The recorded event trace file can be recognized by the .etl file extension.

NOTE: It is important not to profile an application running in the Unity Editor unless it has been determined that the problem is caused by doing so, as performance characteristics will be slightly different to the final built game and may give inaccurate results.

Recording a trace on PC

The most straightforward way to capture traces on a PC is to use Windows Performance Recorder (wprui.exe).

Windows Performance Recorder		_	
Record system information			0
This tool will gather information about the interaction of computer for analysis.	the program:	s and hardware running on t	this
Status: Recording not started		Time: Buffer: Events dropped:	
Hide options		Start C	ancel
Select additional profiles for performance recording:		Performance scenario:	
First level triage	^	General	~
First level triage		Detail level:	
Resource Analysis		Verbose	~
CPU usage		Logging mode	
Eile I/O activity		cugang mode.	
Registry I/O activity Networking I/O activity Heap usage Pool usage VirtualAlloc usage		To insert an annotated m press CTRL + WIN + x du trace capture.	narker, uring
Add Profiles	•	A	bout

Open Windows Performance Recorder and take a look at the list of available Event Provider profiles that you can enable for the capture. You can also add custom profiles, which allow you to only enable capture for the things you are interested in (see <u>#Custom ETW Capture Profiles</u>).

NOTE: Profiles are resource-intensive. It is important to minimize the number of enabled profiles where possible, as this reduces the overhead for the capture, makes the captured trace log smaller, and reduces the chance that events are dropped. If you have a lot of profiles enabled, you risk a massive capture trace log - potentially several gigabytes per minute of capture.

Select what you want to profile and click the **Start** button to initiate the capture.

Windows Performance Recorder		- 🗆 X
Record system information	0	
This tool will gather information about the interaction of computer for analysis.	the programs	s and hardware running on this
Status: Recording selected profiles in File		Time: 00:00:10 Buffer: 40 MB (< 1% of total memory) Events dropped: 0
Hide options		Save Cancel
Select additional profiles for performance recording:		Performance scenario:
First level triage	^	General \vee
First level triage		Detail level:
Resource Analysis		Verbose \vee
Disk I/O activity		Logging mode:
File I/O activity		File
Registry I/O activity Registry I/O activity Heap usage Pool usage VirtualAlloc usage		To insert an annotated marker, press CTRL + WIN + x during trace capture.
Add Profiles	*	About

When the capture is finished, click the Save button and, if required, fill in the detailed description box that appears. This box is optional; leave it blank if you don't need to record a problem for future reference. Click Save again to exit this window.

ype in a detailed description of the problem:	in a detailed description of the problem:
~	
	×
This recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not	his recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not
This recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not	his recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not ecessarily limited to paths to files accessed, paths to registry access and process names. Exact information
This recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not	his recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not
This recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not	his recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not
This recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not	his recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not
This recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not	his recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not
This recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not	his recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not
This recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not	his recording may contain personally identifiable or security related information, including but not

Windows Performance Recorder can take a while to save the trace log, especially if you're running it for the first time on the target machine. When the save is complete, you are asked whether you want to open it. Click "Open in WPA" to analyze the trace log in Windows Performance Analyzer.

scription of the pro	blem:					
						~
						\sim
			1.1.1			
iay contain persona	lly identifiable	or security rela	ted information	n, including b	ut not	

Recording a trace on a Windows phone

The most straightforward way to capture traces on a Windows phone is to use the (Field Medic) [https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/store/apps/field-medic/9wzdncrfjb82] app.

Install the app and launch it from the target device.



From the main menu, tap **Advanced** to select the ETW Event Providers to use for the capture.

.∎∎ 4G ■• 16:29	4G 16:29
Field Medic	Field Medic
advanced	categories
Choose which ETW providers to use	
Unity+CPU+MF+DotNet+DXGI.wprp	Custom Group
Choose a modem logging configuration	MultimediaMem.wprp
choose an item	MultimediaPerf.wprp
Configure system log and crash dump options	Unity+CPU+MF+DotNet+DXGI.w
Choose your options	Unity+CPU.wprp
Include Netlogs	Unity+OtherStuffProfile.wprp
Off	
	•••

NOTE: It is recommended that you only select one provider when using Field Medic to capture traces, as it produces one .etl file per profile, rather than one per recording.

Once you have selected the Event Provider(s) to record, go back to the main menu and click **Start Logging**. When it starts collecting data, perform the actions you want to record.

When you've finished recording, go back to the Field Medic app and click Stop Logging. Enter a name for the captured trace and click Save to save the trace log to the device's storage.



To retrieve the trace log from the device, connect the device to a PC, open its storage in Explorer and navigate to the **Documents\Field Medic\Reports** directory.

📙 🛃 🤿 🗸 i reports				– 🗆 X			
File Home Share View				~ 😮			
Image: Pin to Quick access Copy Paste Image: Copy path Pin to Quick access Copy Paste Paste shortcut	Move to Delete Copy to Rename	New folder	Properties	Select all Select none Invert selection			
	Organize	New	Open	Select			
← → * ↑ 	s > Fieldiviedic > reports	~ O	Search reports	م			
 ✓ # Quick access Desktop 1 item 							
New Unity Proje							
PC recording							
> ConeDrive							
👻 🛄 This PC							
> Desktop							
> 🖆 Documents							
> Uownloads							
> Pictures							
> Videos							
> Windows phone							
> 📓 Windows phone 🧹							
1 item				₽== ■			

Inside that folder, locate the .etl file.



Custom Event Provider profiles

To use custom profiles in Field Medic, copy the profile file to **Documents/FieldMedic/CustomProfiles** on the target Windows mobile device.



For more information on custom Event Providers, see <u>#Custom ETW Capture Profiles</u>.

Custom ETW capture profiles

This page lists several available ETW capture profiles, which enable different Event Providers. These capture profiles are included in the zip file along with this PDF.

- <u>Unity+CPU+MF+DXGI.wprp</u> Enables CPU Usage providers (sampling and precise), DXGI providers and several other MediaFoundation and Direct3D providers. Use this ETW capture profile to record CPU and frame rate providers.
- <u>Unity+CPU+MF+DotNet+DXGI.wprp</u> Same as Unity+CPU+MF+DXGI.wprp, except it also captures stack traces for managed code when using .NET scripting backend.
- <u>VirtualAlloc.wprp</u> Enables various memory usage providers, including VirtualAlloc Commit providers. Use this to record memory usage.

Analysing the captured trace using Windows Performance Analyzer

Windows Performance Analyzer is part of the Windows Performance toolkit, which can be installed with the [Windows SDK](<u>https://dev.windows.com/en-us/downloads/windows-10-sdk</u>). Open the captured trace (the .etl file) with Windows Performance Analyzer.



Caption: Windows Performance Analyzer main window

The graphs on the left-hand side give you different performance metrics. The number of available graphs depends on the number of recorded Event Providers. Double-click a graph for a more detailed view in the Analysis tab. Open multiple graphs to display corresponding information across the same time range:



Using the timeline

Select a time range on one graph to select it on all graphs. This also highlights the events in the selected part of the timeline:



To filter the events to the selected time range, right-click on the time range and choose Zoom:



Using the Analysis tab

The Analysis tab contains event data from specific Event Providers. For each graph, the Analysis tab shows different data. Each row represents an event or an event group, while each column represents event data fields. The columns are divided into two groups:

- The columns to the left of the yellow line represent expandable event groups, grouped by column name in left-to-right priority.
- The columns to the right of the yellow line represent aggregated event data in the expandable event groups.

1 Analysis					,
✓ CPU Usage (Sampled) Utilization by Proc	ess. Stack * 🗸 🔯 🖉 🤷				
Series			% Weight using resou	rce time as [TimeStamp-Weight,TimeStamp] (Aggregation: Sum)	
V Nightmares.exe < S2d2 V Rootj I - FVTULDLUS P - Intoskmi.exel < Sy P - I- ntoskmi.exel < Sy P - I- CoreMessaging P - I- MUUSER.DLUS P - I- cored.dllKSym L. vEPRIL RACE NI - VI		MAA	MAL	Mannahan	man M
Line # Process	Stack	Count Sum V	Veight (in vie s	FimeStamp (s)	% Weight sum Legend
1 Idle (0)	▷ [Idle]	2 268	74 274,815703		51,32 🛄 🗠
2 ▼ Nightmares.exe < 52df2259-0d4a.		31 053	31 023,734952		21,43
3	▼ [Root]	30 531	30 501,946506		21,07
4	I- NTDLL.DLL! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	30 193	30 164,235689		20,84
5	I - ntoskrnl.exel <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	108	108,158960		0,07
6	I- CoreMessaging.dll! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	97	96,424152		0,07
7	I- MINUSER.DLL! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	60	59,953332		0,04
8	I - coreclr.dll! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	37	37,081482		0,03
9	I- KERNELBASE.DLL! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	36	36,092891		0,02
10	▶ n/a	522	521,788446		0,36
11 D System (4)		6 091	6 078, 143252		4,20
12 ▷ starthost.exe <wp> (2228)</wp>		5 229	5 225,265176		3,61
13 ▷ HeadlessHost.exe <wp> (2308)</wp>		2 681	2 679,046517		1,85
14 SVCHOST.EXE (948)		2 264	2 263,328441		1,56
15 P SVCHOST.EXE (1396)		1 889	1 885,553932		1,30
16 AUDIODG.EXE (1432)		1 293	1 292,618960		0,89
17 P SVCHOST.EXE (920)		929	927,068745		0,64
18 P SVCHOST.EXE (1/52)		810	816,109028		0,00
19 P BingSuggestsHighlights.exe <w< td=""><td></td><td>292</td><td>291,995707</td><td></td><td>0,20</td></w<>		292	291,995707		0,20
20 P RUNTIMEBROKER.EXE (3000)		270	269,633331		0,19
21 P LSASS.EXE (464)		227	227,013332		0,16
22 P SVCHOST.EXE (640)		222	221,000370		0,15
23 P SVCHOST.EXE (904)	1 m - 1	207	200,013033		0,14
24 SVCHOST.EXE (908)	V [ROOT]	138	138,011109		0,10
25 P SVCHOST.EXE (1108)		128	127,866078		0,09
20 P SVCHOST.EXE (608)		119	118,843559		0,08
27 P SVCHOSTEXE (848)		98	97,938521		0,07
20 V rigp_svc.exe (1508)		9/	90,838221		0,07
29 P SVCHOST,EXE (1252)	h (Deed)	64	64,003116		0,04
30 SVCHOST,EXE (1420)	P [Robij	49	49,020332		0,03
31 SVCHUST.EXE (760)	P [ROOT]	31	30,992003		0,02
JE / DERVICED.EAE (440)		31	20,330814		0,02

Caption: Analysis tab view of a CPU Usage (Sampled) graph

In this example screenshot, the event groups are first grouped by the Process column, then by Stack. On the right side of the yellow line is the aggregated sample count for each process, followed by the aggregated sample count for each stack frame group. For example, in the screenshot above, the spaces on the timeline highlighted in blue represent samples with a ntdll.dll function on top of their stack trace being taken from the **Nightmares.exe** process.

Add additional columns by right-clicking on the header row and selecting the desired column:

1 Ana	lysis										
🔺 CPU	Usage (Sampled) Utilization by Proce	ss, Stack * 💌		ጋ ፅ							
Series							% Weight using resou				
▼ Nigh	tmares.exe < 52df2	60-	l l								
⊤ [R	oot]	_	Α,		~	S 1 1	A.M				
Þ	- NTDLL.DLL! <sy< td=""><td>40-</td><td></td><td>. I.M</td><td>. (</td><td>14 1</td><td>AL AND N</td></sy<>	40-		. I.M	. (14 1	AL AND N				
P - ntoskrnl.exel <sy< td=""></sy<>											
Þ	- CoreMessaging		IN I MAY W	JIK V TIAH							
Þ	MINUSER.DLL! <s< td=""><td>E N L</td><td>~ [</td><td>AN HALLAND</td><td>1. Uh</td><td>F NM MM</td><td>A VAN AN</td></s<>	E N L	~ [AN HALLAND	1. Uh	F NM MM	A VAN AN				
Þ	- coreclr.dll! <sym< td=""><td>0_++++</td><td>177</td><td>and the advert</td><td><u> </u></td><td>In the work the</td><td>WING ANSLOOD</td></sym<>	0_++++	177	and the advert	<u> </u>	In the work the	WING ANSLOOD				
i.		D		5 10	15	20	25				
Line #	Process	Stack				Count Sum	Weight (in vie _S T				
1	ldle (0)	Þ [ldle]		Address		2 268	74 274,815703				
2	▼ Nightmares.exe < 52df2259-0d4a		_	Annotation		31 053	31 023,734952				
3		▼[Root]	~	Count	Sum	30 531	30 501,946506				
4		▶ - NTDLL		CPU		30 193	30 164,235689				
5		▶ - ntoskrn		Display Name		108	108,158960				
6		▷ - CoreMe		DPC/ISR		97	96,424152				
7		▶ - MINUS		Function		60	59,953332				
8		▶ - coreclr.		Madula		37	37,081482				
9		▶ - KERNEL		Module		36	36,092891				
10		∮ n/a	_	Priority		522	521,788446				
11	▷ System (4)		~	Process		6 091	6 078, 143252				
12	starthost.exe <wp> (2228)</wp>			Process Name		5 229	5 225,265176				
13	HeadlessHost.exe <wp> (2308)</wp>			Rank		2 681	2 679,046517				
14	SVCHOST.EXE (948)		~	Stack		2 264	2 263,328441				
15	SVCHOST.EXE (1396)			Stack (Frame Tags)		1 889	1 885,553932				
16	AUDIODG.EXE (1432)			Stack (Hume Hugs)		1 293	1 292,618960				
17	SVCHOST.EXE (920)					929	927,068745				
18	SVCHOST.EXE (1752)			Thread Activity Tag		816	816,109028				
19	BingSuggestsHighlights.exe < W			Thread ID		292	291,995707				
20	RUNTIMEBROKER.EXE (3000)			Thread Name		270	269,633331				
21	LSASS.EXE (464)		~	TimeStamp (s)		227	227,013332				
22	SVCHOST.EXE (640)		~	% Weight	Sum	222	221,566370				
23	SVCHOST.EXE (904)		~	Weight (in view) (ms)	Sum	207	206,513033				
24	SVCHOST.EXE (968)	▶ [Root]				138	138,011109				
25	SVCHOST.EXE (1108)			Show/Hide Freeze Bars		128	127,866078				
26	SVCHOST.EXE (608)			Open View Editor		119	118,843559				
27	SVCHOST.EXE (848)			Done	Esc	98	97,938521				
28	▶ ngp_svc.exe (1508)		-		-	97	96,838221				
29	SVCHOST.EXE (1252)					64	64,003116				
30	SVCHOST.EXE (1420)	▶ [Root]				49	49,025332				
31	SVCHOST.EXE (760)	▶ [Root]				31	30,992003				
32	SERVICES.EXE (448)				 	31	30,990814				

Dragged to the desired position as needed by left-clicking and holding as you move the column:

Line #	Process	Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie _s
1	ldle (0)	0	▷ [Idle]	2 268	74 274,815703
2	▼ Nightmares.exe <52df2259-0d4a			31 053	31 023,734952
3		▼ 2 900		7 684	7 678,004279
4			▼[Root]	7 504	7 497,961174
5			I- NTDLL.DLL! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	7 478	7 471,874063
6			I- coreclr.dll! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	16	15,999556
7			I - ntoskrnl.exe! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	10	10,087555
8			⊅ n/a	180	180,043105
9		▷ 3 924		7 393	7 393,465622
10		▷ 1 904		6 045	6 039,515989

The Analyze tab can be filtered on a very detailed basis. Select the rows you want to filter, right-click on them, and select the desired filtering option:



1	Analysis							;
40	CPU Usage (Sampled) Utilization by Proce	ess, Stack * 👻 🛛	۵ ۵					🖼 🖂 🗆 🗆 🗙
Serie	es			% Weight using	resource time as [Time	Stamp-Weight, TimeSta	itamp] (Aggregation: Sum)	
* N * * •	lightmares.exe <\$2df2259	40 20 0	5 10 15		30	alterna a	mmmmml	۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰ ۳۷
Line	# Process	Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie s	TimeStamp (s)		6 Weight Sum Legend
	1 Nightmares.exe <52df2259-0d4a	2 900	▼ [Root]	7 494	7 487,873619			5,17
	2		I- NTDLL.DLL! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	7 478	7 471,874063			5,16
	3		I- coreclr.dll! <symbols disabled=""></symbols>	16	15,999556			0,01

Loading symbols

To inspect the captured stack traces, you need to load the executable symbol files (with the extension .pdb) into Windows Performance Analyzer. To do this, you first need to set the correct symbol paths. Open the Trace menu and click on Configure Symbol Paths:



Configure Symbols				x
Paths Sym	Cache Load	Settings		
✓ _NT_SYMBC)L_PATH=SRV*D:\Sy	mbols*http://msdl.	microsoft.com/o	download/symb
D:\Builds\U	nity 5.3.0f1\Editor			
D:\Builds\U	nity 5.3.0f1\Editor\D	ata\PlaybackEngine	s\windowsstand	lalonesupport\V
D:\Builds\U	nity 5.3.0f1\Editor\D	ata\PlaybackEngine	s\MetroSupport	\Players\UAP\di
<				>
+ 1 4	ñ	5	Reset D	Copy all paths
	-			

The first path in the list points to the Microsoft Symbol Servers. Windows Performance Analyzer knows how to download symbol files for OS DLLs from it. In this example, the symbol server path is SRV*D:\Symbols*<u>http://msdl.microsoft.com/download/symbols</u>.

Note: The first part of the server path, **SRV**, indicates that the path points to a symbol server. The second part of the path, **D:\Symbols**, indicates which directory the symbols are downloaded to from the server. The third part of the path, <u>http://msdl.microsoft.com/download/symbols</u>, is the URL to the Microsoft Symbol Servers.

The symbol server path can also be set automatically by setting a **_NT_SYMBOL_PATH** environment variable to the path string. This means that Windows Performance Analyzer (and many other tools) can use this without you needing to manually configure it.

When you profile your game, you also need to add paths to Unity symbols and any plugins you might use. Unity symbols are automatically installed with Unity. You can find them next to the executables:

- Windows Editor: <UnityInstallDir>\Editor
- Windows Standalone Player: <UnityInstallDir>\Editor\Data\PlaybackEngines\windowsstandalonesupport\Variations\<PlayerType>
- Windows Store Player with .NET scripting backend:
 - <UnityInstallDir>\Editor\Data\PlaybackEngines\metrosupport\Players\<SDK>\<CPU Architecture>\<Configuration>\
 - <GeneratedVSSolutionDir>\<ProjectName>\bin\<CPU Architecture>\<Configuration>
- Windows Store Player with IL2CPP scripting backend: <GeneratedVSSolutionDir>\build\<CPU Architecture>\<Configuration>\

After adding all desired symbol paths, go to **Trace > Load symbols**:

Custom-Unity+CPU+MF+DotNet+DXGI.etl - Windows Perform File Trace Profiles Window Help	ance Analyzer
1 Loading symbols - You can continue with your analysis while t	he symbols are loaded 11 symbols found
1 Graph Explorer - Custom-Unity+CPU+MF+DotNe 🤝 🖡 🗙	1 Analysis
 System Activity Processes Lifetime By Process 	▲ CPU Usage (Sampled) Utilization by Process, Stack * ► ▷ ◇
- Computation	20-
Mrsymm M	0
CPU Usage (Sampled) Utilization by Process, Stack	Line # Process Thread ID Stack
CPULUsage (Precise) Litilization by Process Thread	1 Nightmares.exe <52df2259-0d4a 2 900 ▷ [Root]

Loading symbols for the first time can take a while, especially if you're on a slow internet connection. Subsequent loads will be faster, as the symbols are cached on your machine.

Frame rate provider

Every time DirectX presents a frame to the screen, it logs an ETW event named **IDXGISwapChain_Present**. It outputs two such events per frame: one when the presentation starts, and one when it finishes. The time between finish events indicates how long each frame is.

To see this event in Windows Performance Analyzer, you need to capture your trace with the DXGI Event Provider enabled. To view the data, expand the **System Activity** graph in the Graph Explorer and then doubleclick on the **Generic Events** graph:

1	Graph Explorer - C	Custom-Unity+CPU+MF+DotNe 😎 🖡
₽	System Activity Processes	Lifetime By Process
Þ	lmages	Transient Lifetime By Process, Image
~	Generic Events	Activity by Provider, Task, Opcode
		Trace markers

Generic Events Activity by Provider, Task.	Opcode* - 👂 🔯													-
eries														_
a669021c-c450-4609-a0		and the second second												
e13c0d23-ccbc-4e12-9			-				44	• •	• •	• •		•	•	-
Microsoft-Windows-D		Carlos Carlos Carlos		• • •										K I
Microsoft-Windows-Di				• • •		<	<u> </u>	- ((1) - (1)						
Microsoft-Windows-D3		•		• • •			٠	• • •		* * *	• •		• • • •	0
EventMetadata	• • •		•	• •										
Process														_
SysConfig 🛛														
	5	10	15	20 25	30	35		40	45	50	55	60	65 7	70
ne # Provider Name	Task Name	Opcode N	ld	Process	Event Name	С Т	Thre	Field 1	Field 2	Field 3	Field 4	Field 5 Count s	Time (s)	Ŀ
1 a669021c-c450-4609-a035-5af59		Þ										107 955		
2 e13c0d23-ccbc-4e12-931b-d9cc		Þ										64 602		
3 h Microroft-Windows-DXG												55 095		
5 P Microsoft-Willdows-DAG												4 706		
4 ♦ Microsoft-Windows-Direct3D11														
4 Microsoft-Windows-Direct3D11 5 Microsoft-Windows-D3D10Level9												3 380		
4 ▷ Microsoft-Windows-DAGI 5 ▷ Microsoft-Windows-Direct3D11 5 ▷ Microsoft-Windows-D3D10Level9 6 ▷ EventMetadata												3 380 103	-	ł
4 b Microsoft-Windows-Direct3D11 5 b Microsoft-Windows-D3D10Level9 6 b EventMetadata 7 b Process												3 380 103 58		
4 > Microsoft-Windows-Direct3D11 5 > Microsoft-Windows-D3D10Level9 6 > EventMetadata 7 > Process 8 SysConfig	SysConfig: Code Integrity		0	SVCHOST.EXE (920)	SysConfig: Code	1 1	044					3 380 103 58 1	72,329696148	3

To see the frame rate for your process only, drag the **Process** column to the left so that it becomes the most significant grouping column. This groups all the events by process:

1 Analysis														
✓ Generic Events Activity by Provi	ider, Task, Opcode * 👻 🔎 🥸											🔛 🖂	· · · ·	- ×
Series														
Nightmares.exe < 52df2	<u></u>						6 6 6400			• • •				^
▷ HeadlessHost.exe <wp< p=""></wp<>	• •EX.043													-11
▷ FieldMedic.exe <xaaf71< p=""></xaaf71<>													< H) = +	ē 🔤
MobileUI.exe (636)	÷ 4404		+400 (+	(38)									• (348)	<u>ا ا</u>
▷ starthost.exe < WP> (22														- 11
▶ Idle (0)														-
SVCHOST.EXE (920)														+
SVCHOST.EXE (948)	×													×
	5	10 15	20	25	30 3	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	
Line # Process	Provider Name	Task Name	Opcode N	ld	Event Name C	Thre	Field 1	Field 2	Field 3	Field 4	Field 5 Count	Sum Time (s)	;) Le	gend
1 ▷ Nightmares.exe < 52df											76 386			
2 ▷ HeadlessHost.exe <wp< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>64 345</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></wp<>											64 345			
3 ▷ FieldMedic.exe <xaaf7< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>44 037</td><td></td><td></td><td>A</td></xaaf7<>											44 037			A
4 ▷ MobileUI.exe (636)											41 746			a
5 ▷ starthost.exe <wp> (2</wp>											9 327			4 J
6 ▷ Idle (0)											44			a
7 ▷ SVCHOST.EXE (920)											14			A
8 SVCHOST.EXE (948)	Process	Process [Provider]		0	Process [Provider] 0	2 716					1	34,24880	02962	1
9 Unknown	Process	Process: PerfCounters: End		0	Process: PerfCo 1	2 416					1	34 2550	73620	41 H

Right-click on the process you want to see and click **Filter To Selection** so that only the selected process is visible:



1 Ar	nalysis														
⊿ Ger	neric Events Activity by Provi	der, Task, Opcode * 🗸 🔎 🔯												🔛 🖸	0 - o x
Series															
⇒ Nig	htmares.exe < 52df2259				X#0+ (3)						• •				~
Þ	e13c0d23-ccbc-4e12-93				XIIII (13)	• •	•• ••	• •	• • •	• •	• • •	•		+	
Þ.	Microsoft-Windows-DX	1		• •	480.4										
Þ	Microsoft-Windows-Dir	1		•	++ + +				40 40 4						
Þ.	Microsoft-Windows-D3			•				• •	• • • •	• • •	• •				
D I	EventMetadata	1		•	•									•	
		5	10 1	 15 20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60		55	70
Line #	Process	Provider Name	10 1 Task Name	15 20 Opcode N	25 Id	30 Event Name	35 C Thre	40 Field 1	45 Field 2	50 Field 3	55 Field 4	60 Field 5	Count s	55 " Time (s	70 Legend
Line #	Process 1 ▼ Nightmares.exe <52df	Provider Name	10 1 Task Name	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 Id	30 Event Name	35 C Thre	40 Field 1	45 Field 2	50 Field 3	55 Field 4	60 Field 5	Count	55 om Time (s	70 Legend
Line #	Process 1 ▼ Nightmares.exe <52df 2	Provider Name e13c0d23-ccbc-4e12-931b-d9ec.	10 1 Task Name	0pcode N	25 Id	30 Event Name	35 C Thre	40 Field 1	45 Field 2	50 Field 3	55 Field 4	60 Field 5	Count s 76 386 64 570	55 um Time (s	70 Legend
Line #	Process 1 Vightmares.exe < 52df 3	Provider Name e13c0d23.ccbc-4e12-931b-d9cc. Microsoft-Windows-DXGI	10 1 Task Name	5 20 Opcode N P	25 Id	30 Event Name	35 C Thre	40 Field 1	45 Field 2	50 Field 3	55 Field 4	60 Field 5	Count s 76 386 64 570 6 802	55 ° Time (s	70 Legend
Line #	Process V Nightmares.exe <52df 2 3 4	Provider Name e13c0425-ccbc-4e12-9316-d9cc. P Microsoft-Windows-DXGI Microsoft-Windows-DXGI Microsoft-Windows-DIrect3D11	10 1 Task Name	0pcode N	25 Id	30 Event Name	35 C Thre	40 Field 1	45 Field 2	50 Field 3	55 Field 4	60 Field 5	Count s 76 386 64 570 6 802 2 760	of Time (s	70 Legend
Line #	Process	Provider Name 5 e13c0425_ccbc-4e12-931b-d9cc. b b Microsoft-Windows-DXGI b Microsoft-Windows-DDI010LevelS b Microsoft-Windows-DD1010LevelS	10 1 Task Name	5 20 Opcode N	25	30 Event Name	35 C Thre	40 Field 1	45 Field 2	50 Field 3	55 Field 4	60 Field 5	Count 76 386 54 570 5 802 2 760 2 231	55 "m [°] Time (s	70 Legend
Line #	Process V Nightmares.exe <52df V V S G	Provider Name Florida: Color - 4r12-93116-994c Microsoft-Windows-DXGI Microsoft-Windows-DXGI Microsoft-Windows-DiceVBD11E-94 EventMetasta	to f	5 20 Opcode N P	25	30 Event Name	35 C Thre	40 Field 1	45 Field 2	Field 3	55 Field 4	60 Field 5	Count s 76 386 64 570 6 802 2 760 2 231 23	55 Time (s	70 Legend

In the Provider Name column, open **Microsoft-Windows-DXGI**, then locate the row that contains **win.Stop** in the Opcode Name column. Click this row to filter to the events traced at the end of each **IDXGISwapChain_Present** presentation.

Line #	Process	Provider Name	Task Name	Opcode N	ld	Eve
1	▼ Nightmares.exe < 52df					
2		e13c0d23-ccbc-4e12-931b-d9cc		Þ		
3		Microsoft-Windows-DXGI				
4	4		▶ IDXGIOutput_WaitForVBlank			
5	i .		▼IDXGISwapChain_Present			
6	i .			win:Start	▶ 178	
7				win:Stop	▶ 179	
8			▶ Present			

This filter allows you to see all the distinct frames that happened during the capture:

a construction by Product Nucl. Quodes ** D	1 Analysis												
Inter Process Provider Name Distance of Subscription Distance of Subscription <thdistance of="" subscription<="" th=""> Distance of S</thdistance>	✓ Generic Events Activity by Provi	ider. Task. Opcode * 🗸 🔎 🙋											
Vip/Interview of 30/220. Vip/Interview of 30/220. Vip/Interview of 30/2000 Vip/Int	Series												_
Viccos Process Provider Nunderor DUC. Provider Nunderor DUC Provideror DUC Providerorot DUC Provideror DUC	▼ Nightmares.exe < 52df2259												
DOGsopp: Lam p. Image: Control of the con	Microsoft-Windows-DX												
Interview Interview <thinterview< th=""> Interview <th< td=""><td> IDXGISwanChain Pr </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<></thinterview<>	 IDXGISwanChain Pr 					_							
International Normality Table Name Opcode Number of the Number of th	h minister												
int Proces Proces Moreach Wandow-DXG DXGBSwapChain_Prese winStep *17 Neghtmarses Moreach Wandow-DXG DXGBSwapChain_Prese winStep *17 *			10 15	20 25	30 35		40	45	50	55	60	 65	70
1 Nightranse.ee State 1 <th>Line # Process</th> <th>Provider Name</th> <th>Task Name</th> <th>Opcode N Id</th> <th>Event Name C</th> <th>Thre</th> <th>m Ret (Fiel</th> <th>Field 2</th> <th>Field 3</th> <th>Field 4</th> <th>Field Count</th> <th>Cul Time (s)</th> <th>Legend</th>	Line # Process	Provider Name	Task Name	Opcode N Id	Event Name C	Thre	m Ret (Fiel	Field 2	Field 3	Field 4	Field Count	Cul Time (s)	Legend
Microsoft Wind. 0 9.84 0 1 84.07/201907 3 Microsoft Wind. 0 324 0 1 31.07/201902 4 Microsoft Wind. 0 324 0 1 31.07/201902 5 Microsoft Wind. 1 324 0 1 31.083047 5 Microsoft Wind. 1 324 0 1 31.09089962 6 Microsoft Wind. 1 324 0 1 31.09089962 6 Microsoft Wind. 1 324 0 1 32.0429307 10 Microsoft Wind. 0 324 0 1 32.0429307 11 Microsoft Wind. 0 324 0 1 32.0429307 11 Microsoft Wind. 0 324 0 1 32.0429307 12 Microsoft Wind. 0 324 0 1 32.0429307 13 Microsoft Wind. 0 324	1 Nightmares.exe < 52df	Microsoft-Windows-DXGI	IDXGISwapChain Present	win:Stop = 179							570		
3 Microsoft-Wind. 0 324 0 1 316724962 4 Microsoft-Wind. 1 3924 0 1 317051804 5 Microsoft-Wind. 1 3924 0 1 317744500 6 Microsoft-Wind. 1 324 0 1 317744500 7 Microsoft-Wind. 1 324 0 1 3159958962 8 Microsoft-Wind. 1 324 0 1 320420377 10 Microsoft-Wind. 1 324 0 1 320482037 11 Microsoft-Wind. 0 324 0 1 320482037 11 Microsoft-Wind. 0 324 0 1 32048207 12 Microsoft-Wind. 1 3244 0 1 32049216 13 Microsoft-Wind. 1 3244 0 1 323617892 14 Microsoft-Wind. 1 32440 </td <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Microsoft-Wind 0</td> <td>3 924</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>31,607281037</td> <td>7</td>	2				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 924	0				1	31,607281037	7
4 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 1,7001814 5 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 1,87145600 6 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 1,87145600 7 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 1,87145600 8 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 1,80058692 9 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 1,80058692 9 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 2,01893057 10 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 2,01893057 11 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 2,01893057 12 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 2,01893057 13 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 2,01893057 14 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 2,0193057 15 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0 1 3 2,0192057 16 Microsch Wind. 3 824 0	3				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 924	0				1	31,672345925	5
5 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 313330074 6 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 31,87145600 7 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 31,9039662 8 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 31,9039662 9 Microsch Wind. 0 324 0 1 31,9039662 10 Microsch Wind. 0 324 0 1 32,069397 10 Microsch Wind. 0 324 0 1 32,069397 11 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 32,069397 12 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 32,069397 13 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 32,093704 14 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 32,0730576 16 Microsch Wind. 1 324 0 1 32,0730576 17 Microsch Wind. 0 324<	4				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 924	0				1	31,700518814	4
6 Microseft-Wind. 1 324 0 1 3197195000 7 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 31,0008601 8 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 31,0008601 9 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 32,0083337 9 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 32,0083370 10 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 32,0083370 11 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 32,0083370 12 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 32,2080740 13 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 32,2080740 14 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 32,2080740 15 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 32,2080740 16 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 3,24010510 17 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1 3,24010510 18 Microseft-Wind. 324 0 1	5				Microsoft-Wind 1	3 924	0				1	31.833362074	4
7 1 3 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6				Microsoft-Wind 1	3 924	0				1	31 871456000	0
8 8 8 9 1 3,9933325 9 Microsoft-Wind 3,24 0 1 3,09933325 9 Microsoft-Wind 3,24 0 1 3,01983325 10 Microsoft-Wind 3,24 0 1 3,02683437 11 Concort-Wind 3,24 0 1 3,0283325 12 Microsoft-Wind 3,24 0 1 3,24307 13 Microsoft-Wind 3,24 0 1 3,24307 14 Microsoft-Wind 3,244 0 1 3,24007 15 Microsoft-Wind 3,244 0 1 3,24106062 16 Microsoft-Wind 3,244 0 1 3,2426078 17 Microsoft-Wind 3,244 0 1 3,24407 18 Microsoft-Wind 3,244 0 1 3,2476021 18 Microsoft-Wind 3,244 0 1 <	7				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 924	0				1	31,900986962	2
9 0 1 3,014,92037 10 Microsoft-Wind. 3,924 0 1 3,044,92037 11 Microsoft-Wind. 3,924 0 1 3,04693,037 12 Microsoft-Wind. 3,924 0 1 3,04693,037 13 Microsoft-Wind. 3,924 0 1 3,2482,037 14 Microsoft-Wind. 1 3,24 0 1 3,2482,037 14 Microsoft-Wind. 1 3,24 0 1 3,2490,2216 15 Microsoft-Wind 1 3,24 0 1 3,2490,2216 16 Microsoft-Wind 1 3,24 0 1 3,2416,6662 17 Microsoft-Wind 1 3,24 0 1 3,2426,0217 18 Microsoft-Wind 1 3,24 0 1 3,2426,02177 19 Microsoft-Wind 1 3,24 0 1 1 3,24602,177	8				Microsoft-Wind 1	3 924	0				1	31 959333925	5
Image: Section of the sectio	9				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 924	0				1	32 01/020037	7
no no <thno< th=""> no no no<!--</td--><td>10</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Microsoft-Wind 0</td><td>2 024</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>22.066924270</td><td>0</td></thno<>	10				Microsoft-Wind 0	2 024	0				1	22.066924270	0
1 1 2 1 2 2 12 Microsft, Wind. 3 324 0 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 2 0 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 3 1 3 1 3 2 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 1 3	11				Microsoft-Wind 1	3 024	0				1	32 123000740	
n n	12				Microsoft-Wind 1	3 924	0				1	32 186820185	5
Image: Constraint of the second of	13				Microsoft-Wind 1	3 924	0				1	32 240122518	8
n n	14				Microsoft Wind 1	2 024	0				1	22 201051951	<u>.</u>
Image: Market	15				Microsoft-Wind 0	2 024	0				1	22 262279062	2
Norm Macrosoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 247260231 18 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 23303701 19 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 23303701 20 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 24803777 21 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 24803777 22 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 24803777 22 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 24803777 23 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 24803777 24 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 24803775 25 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 28907555 25 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 28907755 26 Microsoft Wind 3 324 0 1 3 28907755 27 Microsoft Wind 3 324 <td>16</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Microsoft-Wind 0</td> <td>3 924</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>32,416166962</td> <td></td>	16				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 924	0				1	32,416166962	
Norm Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3 2,42 (300) 18 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,2300) 19 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,200) 19 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,200) 20 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,000 21 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,000 22 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,000 22 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,000 23 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,000 24 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,000 25 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,24,000 26 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1 3,32,000 26 Microsoft Wind. 3 324 0 1	17				Microsoft-Wind 1	2 024	0				1	22 472602914	A
Norm Name Name <th< td=""><td>19</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Microsoft-Wind 1</td><td>3 924</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>32,533036740</td><td>0</td></th<>	19				Microsoft-Wind 1	3 924	0				1	32,533036740	0
Image Image <thimage< th=""> <thi< td=""><td>10</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Microsoft Wind 0</td><td>2 024</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>22,503030740</td><td>· · · · ·</td></thi<></thimage<>	10				Microsoft Wind 0	2 024	0					22,503030740	· · · · ·
bit micrositer Wind 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 21 Micrositer Wind 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 22 Micrositer Wind 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 23 Micrositer Wind 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 24 Micrositer Wind 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 24 Micrositer Wind 1 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 25 Micrositer Wind 0 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 26 Micrositer Wind 0 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 27 Micrositer Wind 0 3 s24 0 1 3 dewat 28 Micrositer Wind 0 3 s24 0 1 3 dayt 28 Micrositer Wind 3 s24 0 1 3 dayt 39 Micrositer Wind 3 s24 0 1 3 dayt	20				Missesoft Wind 0	2 024	0				1	22, 3927 30222	7
22 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 2,400 JA262 23 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 2,31077552 24 Microsoft-Wind 1 3 2,44 0 1 3 2,31077552 24 Microsoft-Wind 1 3 2,44 0 1 3 2,31077555 25 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 2,99231402 26 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 2,99231402 26 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 2,99231402 27 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 3,30600724 28 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 3,3373040052 29 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 3,2490052 30 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 3,2490052 30 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 3,2490052 30 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 3,2490052	20				Microsoft Wind 0	2 024	0				1	22 609157620	0
22 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3 2,100/2592 24 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3,210/2592 24 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3,240/0555 25 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3,9923102 26 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3,9923107 26 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3,9923107 27 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3,392082 28 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3,3370862 29 Microsoft-Wind 3 324 0 1 3,32408051 30 Microsoft-Wind	22				Microsoft-Wind 0	2 024	0				1	22,050157025	2
Construction Image	23				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 924	0				1	32,730002902	2
24 Microsoft Wind 3 s24 0 1 24,000/3324 25 Microsoft Wind 3 s24 0 1 24,000/3324 26 Microsoft Wind 3 s24 0 1 32,98231407 26 Microsoft Wind 3 s24 0 1 32,98231407 27 Microsoft Wind 3 s24 0 1 33,387662 28 Microsoft Wind 3 s24 0 1 33,387662 29 Microsoft Wind 3 s24 0 1 32,4805818 30 Microsoft Wind	24				Microsoft Wind 1	2 024	0				1	22,013072352	c
25 Microsoft-Wind 0 3 524 0 1 3 2,9005 M022 26 Microsoft-Wind 0 3 244 0 1 3 3,060100740 27 Microsoft-Wind 0 3 244 0 1 3 3,065100740 28 Microsoft-Wind 0 3 242 0 1 3 3,03760526 29 Microsoft-Wind 1 3 244 0 1 1 3,133762636 30 Microsoft-Wind 1 3 244 0 1 1 3,241303736 31 Microsoft-Wind 1 3 244 0 1 1 3,2413045951 32 Microsoft-Wind 0 3 244 0 1 1 3,2413045951 32 Microsoft-Wind 0 3 244 0 1 1 3,2413045951	24				Microsoft-Wind 1	3 924	0				-	32,010013333	2
Construction Construction<	25				Microsoft-Wind 0	2 024	0				1	22,900900222	7
Construction Construction<	27				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 924	0				1	33.066100740	0
Bit Construction 0 3 / 3 / 2 / 0 1 3 / 3 / 3 / 2 / 0 29 Microsoft-Wind1 3 / 3 / 4 0 1 3 / 2 / 0 / 00 30 Microsoft-Wind1 3 / 2 / 4 0 1 3 / 2 / 000/92 31 Microsoft-Wind1 3 / 2 / 4 0 1 3 / 2 / 00/95 32 Microsoft-Wind1 3 / 2 / 4 0 1 3 / 2 / 00/95	28				Microsoft-Wind 0	3 024	0				1	33 133762062	2
asy intersort wind is 324 0 1 33,4(2400351) 30 Microsoft-Wind 3 924 0 1 33,34(1340351) 31 Microsoft-Wind 1 32,424 0 1 33,34(13470) 32 Microsoft-Wind 0 924 0 1 33,34(13470)	20				Misrosoft Wind 1	2 024	0				1	22 20/2202	
Joint Construction Instruction Instruction <td>20</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Microsoft-Wind 1</td> <td>2 024</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>22 201050510</td> <td>0</td>	20				Microsoft-Wind 1	2 024	0				1	22 201050510	0
32 Mintrosoft wind 1 324 0 1 1 33,411,470 32 Mintrosoft wind 0 3924 0 1 1 33,400,4502	21				Misseseft Wind 1	2 024	0				1	33,201038318	,
Microsoft-Wind 0 3 924 0 1 33,400964392	22				Misson Wind 1	3 924	0				1	33,3413/43/0	,
22 Norme Weed 0 2024 0 1 22 452519074	22				Misseeft Wind 0	2 024	0				1	33,400964392	A
	33				Missouth Wind U	3 924	0					33,402018074	

An easy way to see frame rate spikes is to copy and paste these frame times into a spreadsheet program and convert them into a line graph:



You can also inspect individual frames by zooming into the timeline. Usually when investigating low performance, the problem is either constant low frame rate or frame rate spikes. A zoomed-in view helps you find time periods to focus the analysis on when focusing on the whole trace is impractical:

1 Analysis					
✓ Generic Events Activity by Provider, Task, Opcode*	×+ ρ φ				
Series					
▼ Nightmares.exe <52df2259				• • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · ·
▼ Microsoft-Windows-DX				• • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · ·
				* * * * * * *	· · · · · · · · · · ·
win:Stop					• • • • • • • • •
	42.4 42.5 42.6 427 42.42.85122C	Selection Duration 0,125835928; Start Time 42,843021738;	43,2 43,3 43,4	435 43,6 43,7 43,8	435 440 441 442 443 444
Line # Process Provider Name	Task Name	End Time 42.968857666	vent Name C Thre	m_Ret (Fiel Field 2 Field 3	Field 4 Field 5 Count com Time (s) Legend
1 Nightmares.exe < 52df Microsoft-Wind	ndows-DXGI IDXGISwapChain_Present	win.stop + 175			32
2			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 42,393704296
3			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 42,459248000
4			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 42,521150962
5			Microsoft-Wind 0 3 924	0	1 42,586490962
6			Microsoft-Wind 0 3 924	0	1 42,665436592
7			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 42,720010074
8			Microsoft-Wind 0 3 924	0	1 42,782209185
9			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 42,842855111
10			Microsoft-Wind 0 3 924	0	1 42,966221333
11			Microsoft-Wind 0 3 924	0	1 42,975024888
12			Microsoft-Wind 0 3 924	0	1 43,035781629
13			Microsoft-Wind 0 3 924	0	1 43,102842074
14			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 43,162909037
15			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 43,224487111
16			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 43,289126666
17			Microsoft-Wind 1 3 924	0	1 43.353392740

CPU Usage (Sampled) provider

The CPU Usage (Sampled) profiler logs what every CPU core is doing every millisecond - that's 1000 samples per second per CPU core. The accuracy of this provider is not 100%; it doesn't know how long each particular function has taken, just that it was executing when the program was sampled.

The provider is useful for investigating a program's CPU usage over the length of a capture. Statistically, the more samples it takes, the more accurate it becomes, so it's recommended to use this provider when the profiling time is at least 100 ms. Using it to analyze shorter periods of time can be inaccurate.

To bring the CPU Usage (Sampled) event provider into the Analysis tab, double-click on it in the Graph Explorer:

Regions of Int	erest	Regions of Interest
	No	data
Thread Lifetim	nes	By Process, Thread
Stacks		Count by Event Name
MMM	MMM	MMMMMM
- Computatio	n	
[~\/\\\\	MMM	
▷ CPU Usage (Sa	ampled)	Utilization by Process, Stack
	www.	~~~~~~
▶ CPU Usage (P	recise)	Utilization by Process, Thread
	W//////	
▷ DPC/ISR	DPC/ISR	Duration by Module, Function

Example walkthrough

In this example, we have a game that has lower performance than we'd like.



Caption: Example game screenshot

The first step was to take a look at the frame rate, which in this instance seemed to be pretty stable. I picked a particular time period, between the 6th and 7th second of the capture. In this particular second, it had just over 27 frames. This is a very good case for using a **CPU usage (Sampled) provider** to investigate, as we have enough data points for it to be useful:

	by Process, Stack * 👻 👂 🧔																	2 II - I	×
Series					% We	eight using i	resource time a	s [TimeStamp	-Weight TimeStam	p] (Aggregation	n: Sum)								
		yph	MM	M	พน	My	M	LMY	MM	LML	M	M	MM	M	Mh	MM	M	MM	٦
Le otelli dilli deloitial	× 6,00 6,05	6,10 6,1	5 6,20	6.25	6.30	6.35	6,40	6,45	6,50	6,55 (6,60	6,65	6,70	6,75	6,80	6,85	6,90	6,95 7	.00
Line # Process	Stack			Count _{Su}	Weight (i	in vie <u>s_</u>	TimeStamp	(s)									% Weight	sum Legen	d
1 TMySlowGame.exe (26444))			1 55	2 1 5	51,308936												19,39	^
2	▼ [Root]	10.		1 55	1 1 5	50,308924												19,38	
3	 I- ntdll.dll:_KtlUserThree 	adStart		154	154	47,308924												19,34	- 11
4	htdil.dil:KtiUserTh	readStart eadleitThunk		1.54	10 15	47,308924 47,209034											_	19,34	- 11
6	b h MySlowGame eve	tmainCRTSta	tun	96	io 13- io 94	47,300324 56 081624											_	12.08	- 11
7	I - MySlowGame.exe	Thread::RunTh	readWrapper	57	13 51	72.227289											_	7.15	
8	I - atidxx32.dll! <pdb< p=""></pdb<>	not found>			9	9,000011												0,11	
9	I- MySlowGame.exelEn	lighten::Multith	readCpuWorkerC	o	1	1,000000												0,01	
10	I- ntdll.dll!LdrlnitializeT	hunk			1	1,000000												0,01	- v
✓ Generic Events Activity by Provid Series	der, Task, Opcode * 👻 🔎 🏠																	<u>~</u> [] – c	×
▼ MySlowGame.exe (26444)	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	٠	٠	• •	• •	•	• •	٠	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	₹^
 Microsoft-Windows-DX 	÷ +	• •	+ +	•	• •	٠	٠	• •	• •	•	• •	٠	•	• •	•	+	+ +	•	•
✓ Present	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	· • ·	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	•
win:Stop	• •	• •	+ +	•	• •	•	•	• •	• •	<u> </u>	• •	•	•	• •	•	+	• •	•	-
																			~
	6,00 6,05	6,10 6,1	5 6,20	6,25	6,30	6,35	6,40	6,45	6,50	6,55 (6,60	6,65	6,70	6,75	6,80	6,85	6,90	6,95 7	.00
Line # Process	Provider Name	Task Name	Opcode N I	ł	Event Nan	ne (C Thre	Field 1	Field 2	Field 3	3 F	ield 4	Field 5	Fie	ld 6	Fie Count	Sum Time	(s) Leg	jend
1 MySlowGame.exe (264	Microsoft-Windows-DXGI	Present	win:Stop	▶ 43												27			
					<											>			

Generally when investigating performance issues in Unity games, you only need to focus on a subset of Thread IDs, as most threads do not impact frame rate at all (for example, audio threads and COM message loops). Drag the **Thread ID** column to the left-hand side, to group samples by the thread they were taken from:

Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie _S
		1 552	1 551,308936
▶ 20 768		968	968,081636
15 488	▷ [Root]	351	350,441226
9 520	▶ [Root]	43	42,880261
11 924	▷ [Root]	36	35,940273
15 708	▶ [Root]	34	33,982945
10 608	▶ [Root]	29	28,997187
25 684	▶ [Root]	28	27,984314
17 856	▶ [Root]	27	26,968212
3 856	▷ [Root]	25	24,970250
19 340	▶ [Root]	9	9,000011
24 192	▶ [Root]	1	1,062621
24 164	▶ [Root]	1	1,000000

In this example, the three bottom threads aren't relevant to the frame rate problem. Thread **19340** is an internal AMD graphics driver thread (we can determine this by googling the name of the DLL if it isn't already known and can't be worked out from the name).

19 340	▼ [Root]	9	9,000011
	ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart	9	9,000011
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	9	9,000011
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	9	9,000011
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	9	9,000011
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	9	9,000011
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	9	9,000011
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	9	9,000011
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	9	9,000011
	▶ - atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	8	8,000011
	▷ - KernelBase.dll!SetEvent	1	1,000000

It might have been a candidate, being graphics-related, but since it took up so little time we can remove it from our list of suspects.

Threads **24192** and **24164** are Enlighten global illumination worker threads (our example game doesn't use global illumination, so these are mostly idle):

Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie… _{s…}	Time
24 192	▼ [Root]	1	1,062621	
	ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart	1	1,062621	
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	1	1,062621	
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	1	1,062621	
	MySlowGame.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	1	1,062621	
	MySlowGame.exe!TUpdateFunction	1	1,062621	
	KernelBase.dll!ReleaseSemaphore	1	1,062621	
	ntdll.dll!ZwReleaseSemaphore	1	1,062621	
	ntdll.dll!LdrInitializeThunk	1	1,062621	
	ntdll.dll_LdrpInitialize	1	1,062621	
	wow64.dll!Wow64LdrpInitialize	1	1,062621	
	wow64.dll!RunCpuSimulation	1	1,062621	
	wow64cpu.dll!Thunk0Arg	1	1,062621	
	wow64cpu.dll!CpupSyscallStub	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!KiSystemServiceExit	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!NtReleaseSemaphore	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!KeReleaseSemaphore	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!KiExitDispatcher	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!KiApcInterrupt	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!KiDeliverApc	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!EtwpStackWalkApc	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!EtwpTraceStackWalk	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!RtlWalkFrameChain	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exe!RtlpWalkFrameChain	1	1,062621	
	ntoskrnl.exelRtlpLookupFunctionEntryForStackWalks	1	1,062621	
		1	1,062621	
24 164	▼ [Root]	1	1,000000	
	MySlowGame.exe! Enlighten:: MultithreadCpuWorkerCommon:: UpdateRadiosity	1	1,000000	
	MySlowGame.exe!HLRTThreadGroup::Run	1	1,00000	
	KernelBase.dll!ReleaseSemaphore	1	1,00000	
	ntdll.dll!ZwReleaseSemaphore	1	1,000000	

The following 7 threads (**9520**, **11924**, **15708**, **10608**, **25684**, **17856** and **3856**) are Unity JobQueue threads. They all share similar stacktraces, all starting with "JobQueue::WorkLoop":

Thread ID	Stack	Count sum	Weight (in vie s 1
9 520	Root]	43	42,880261
	ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	43	42,880261
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	43	42,880261
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	43	42,880261
	MySlowGame.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	43	42,880261
	MySlowGame.exelJobQueue:WorkLoop	43	42,880261
	MySlowGame.exelJobQueue::ProcessJobs	43	42,880261
	▼ - MySlowGame.exe!JobQueue::ExecuteJobFromQueue	32	31,900706
	I - MySlowGame.exelJobQueue::Steal	31	30,901280
	MySlowGame.exe!JobQueue::Exec	31	30,901280
	▼ - MySlowGame.exelPrepareShadowMapsJob	15	14,968774
	▼ - MySlowGame.exelExtractActiveCasterInfo	10	9,998853
	I - MySlowGame.exelIntersectAABBFrustumFull	5	4,998853
	I - MySlowGame.exelExtractActiveCasterInfo <itself></itself>	4	4,000000
	- MySlowGame.exe!std::vector <shadowcasterdata,stl_allocator<shadowcasterdata,2,16>>::push_back</shadowcasterdata,stl_allocator<shadowcasterdata,2,16>	1	1,000000
	I MySlowGame.exelCullDirectionalCascades	5	4,969921
	I - MySlowGame.exelqsort_internal::_QSortMT <renderpassdata *,int,forwardshaderrenderloop::renderobjectsorter<1="">>::ThreadedSort</renderpassdata>	14	13,932506
	I - MySlowGame.exelCullDirectionalShadowCastersJob	1	1,000000
	I - MySlowGame.exelqsort_internal::_QSortMT <renderpassdata *,int,forwardshaderrenderloop::renderobjectsorter<1="">>::CleanupJob</renderpassdata>	1	1,000000
	- MySlowGame.exe!AtomicQueue::Dequeue	1	0,999426
	I- MySlowGame.exelJobQueue::Exec	8	7,990064
	I- MySlowGame.exelEvent::WaitForSignal	2	2,000597
	- MySlowGame.exe!JobQueue::ProcessJobs <itself></itself>	1	0,988894
11 924	rectified a second seco	36	35,940273
	ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	36	35,940273
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	36	35,940273
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	36	35,940273
	MySlowGame.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	36	35,940273
	MySlowGame.exelJobQueue::WorkLoop	36	35,940273
	MySlowGame.exelJobQueue::ProcessJobs	36	35,940273
	I- MySlowGame.exelJobQueue::ExecuteJobFromQueue	28	27,938180
	▶ - MySlowGame.exelJobQueue:Exec	6	6,000315
	- MySlowGame.exe!CappedSemaphore::WaitForSignal	1	1,000889
	▶ - MySlowGame.exelEvent::WaitForSignal	1	1,000889

The number of JobQueue threads depends on the machine that the game is running on. This trace was captured on an i7 machine with 4 physical cores and hyperthreading (8 logical cores in total), so Unity decided to make 7 such threads. These worker threads do multithreaded work that can be moved from the main thread safely, such as culling, preparing to render into shadow maps, sorting objects for rendering, and physics calculations. In our case these threads have a relatively small sample count, so they're not affecting the frame rate.

You can hide irrelevant threads by selecting them, right-clicking on them and pressing "filter out selection":

e #	Process	Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie _S	TimeStamp (s)
1	▼ MySlowGame.exe (26444)			1 552	1 551,308936	
2		20 768		968	968,081636	
3		15 488	▶ [Root]	351	350,441226	
- 4		9 520	▶ [Root]	45	43,000364	
5		11 924	▶ [Root] Filter 1	o Selection		
6		15 708	▶ [Root] Filter (Out Selection	า	
7		10 608	▶ [Root] Undo	Filter		
8		25 684	▶ [Root] Redo F	ilter		
9		17 856	▶ [Root] Clear F	ilter		
10		3 856	▶ [Root] Eilter			
11		19 340	▶ [Root]			-
12		24 192	▶ [Root] Annot	ate Selectior	1	
13		24 164	▶ [Root] Find Ir	Column	Ctrl+F	

We are left with only two threads. Let's take a look at the bottom one:

8 🔻 [Root]	351	350,441226
ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart	351	350,441226
ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	351	350,441226
kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	351	350,441226
MySlowGame.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	351	350,441226
 HySlowGame.exelGfxDeviceWorker::Run 	349	348,441507
I - MySlowGame.exelGfxDeviceWorker::RunCommand	339	338,441709
I - MySlowGame.exelGfxDevice::DynamicBatchMesh	244	243,533067
▼ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVertices	230	229,483461
▼ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVerticesStridedREF	227	226,484304
MySlowGame.exe!TransformVerticesInnerLoop<1,0,0>	223	222,485148
- MySlowGame.exe!TransformVerticesStridedREF <itself></itself>	4	3,999156
I MySlowGame.exe!TransformVertices <itself></itself>	3	2,999157
I - MySlowGame.exe!TransformIndices	9	9,051888
I - MySlowGame.exelGfxDevice::DynamicBatchMesh <itself></itself>	5	4,997718
I - MySlowGame.exe!ThreadedStreamBuffer::ReadReleaseData	63	62,914520
I - MySlowGame.exelGfxDeviceWorker::RunCommand <itself></itself>	6	6,002118
	 Root] ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk MySlowGame.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper ✓ - MySlowGame.exe!GfxDeviceWorker::Run ✓ - MySlowGame.exe!GfxDeviceWorker::RunCommand ✓ - MySlowGame.exe!GfxDevice::DynamicBatchMesh ✓ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVertices ✓ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVerticesStridedREF ▷ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVerticesStridedREF ▷ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVerticesStridedREF ▷ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVerticesStridedREF ▷ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVerticesStridedREF ▷ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVertices ▷ - MySlowGame.exe!TransformVertices ▷ 	8 ▼ [Root] 351 ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart 351 ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart 351 kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk 351 MySlowGame.exelThread:RunThreadWrapper 351 ▼ - MySlowGame.exelGfxDeviceWorker::Run 349 ▼ - MySlowGame.exelGfxDeviceWorker::RunCommand 339 ▼ - MySlowGame.exelGfxDeviceWorker::RunCommand 339 ▼ - MySlowGame.exelGfxDevice::DynamicBatchMesh 244 ▼ . MySlowGame.exelTransformVertices 230 ▼ . MySlowGame.exelTransformVerticesStridedREF 227 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformVerticesStridedREF 223 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformVerticesStridedREF 3 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformVertices 3 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformVertices 3 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformVertices 3 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformIndices 9 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformIndices 9 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformIndices 9 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelTransformIndices 9 ▷ . MySlowGame.exelThreadedStreamBuffer::ReadReleaseData 63

This is Unity's rendering thread. You can recognize it because it starts with the "**GfxDeviceWorker::Run**" function. In this example it looks like it spends most of its time doing dynamic batching (transforming vertices of each object so that objects can be drawn together with fewer draw calls). This can be expensive in cases where there are many tiny dynamic objects that don't get statically batched.

Let's look at the last remaining thread. This is Unity's main thread:

Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie _S	Т
		1 319	1 318,522862	
▼ 20 768		968	968,081636	
	▼ [Root]	967	967,081624	
	I- ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart	966	966,081624	
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	966	966,081624	
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	966	966,081624	
	MySlowGame.exe!_tmainCRTStartup	966	966,081624	
	MySlowGame.exe!WinMain	966	966,081624	
	MySlowGame.exe!PlayerWinMain	966	966,081624	
	MySlowGame.exe!MainMessageLoop	966	966,081624	
	I - MySlowGame.exelPostLateUpdate_FinishFrameRendering	598	598,151589	
	▶ - MySlowGame.exe!PlayerLoop	366	365,928842	
	- MySlowGame.exel'VRModule::VRModule'::'7'::InitializationVREarlyUpdat	1	1,000597	1
	I - MySlowGame.exelInputProcess	1	1,000596	
	I- ntoskrnl.exe!KiSystemServiceExit	1	1,00000	
	n/a	1	1,000012	
15 488	▶ [Root]	351	350,441226	

It seems that most of work here is divided between "**PostLateUpdate_FinishFrameRendering**" and "**PlayerLoop**". Let's take a look at the former one first.

Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie… _s	Ti
	MySlowGame.exe!MainMessageLoop	966	966,081624	ł
	I - MySlowGame.exe!PostLateUpdate_FinishFrameRendering	598	598,151589)
	MySlowGame.exe!PlayerRender	598	598,151589)
	I - MySlowGame.exe!RenderManager::RenderCameras	597	597,151285	j
	▼ - MySlowGame.exe!Camera::Render	578	578, 128982	2
	MySlowGame.exelCamera::Render	578	578,128982	!
	▼ - MySlowGame.exe!Camera::DoRender	408	407,969178	3
	MySlowGame.exe!DoRenderLoop	408	407,969178	3
	I MySlowGame.exelDoForwardShaderRenderLoop	334	333,933526	j
	▼ MySlowGame.exelForwardShaderRenderLoop::PerformRendering	236	235,926863	\$
	- MySlowGame.exelForwardShaderRenderLoop::RenderLightShadowMaps	153	152,924463	
	▼ - MySlowGame.exelGfxDevice::ExecuteAsync	82	82,001803	5
	▶ - MySlowGame.exe!ForwardRenderLoopJob	81	81,002084	4
	- MySlowGame.exe!BatchRenderer::Add	1	0,999719	,
	- MySlowGame.exe!Camera::GetStereoEnabled	1	1,000597	1
	I MySlowGame.exelForwardShaderRenderLoop::PrepareShadowMaps	72	71,999641	
	▶ - MySlowGame.exe!DoForwardShaderRenderLoop <itself></itself>	8	8,001260)
	▶ MySlowGame.exelFindForwardLightsForObject	6	6,002702	2
	- MySlowGame.exellsObjectWithinShadowRange	4	4,001507	/
	- MySlowGame.exelMinMaxAABB::Encapsulate	2	1,999729	,
	- MySlowGame.exelForwardShaderRenderLoop::RenderLightShadowMaps	1	1,000889	,
	- MySlowGame.exe!_VEC_memcpy	1	1,000597	1
	MySlowGame.exelGfxDeviceClient::BeginProfileEvent	1	1,000304	4
	- ntoskrnl.exe!KiDpcInterrupt	1	1,000304	4
	▶ MySlowGame.exelqsort_internal::_QSort <renderpassdata *,int,forwardshaderren<="" td=""><td>1</td><td>1,000011</td><td>1</td></renderpassdata>	1	1,000011	1
	- MySlowGame.exe!PPtr <textrendering::font>::operator TextRendering::Font *</textrendering::font>	1	0,999719	,
	▶ - MySlowGame.exelConvertRenderers	56	56,002677	1
	▶ - MySlowGame.exelBuildRenderObjectData	17	17,032378	3
	- MySlowGame.exelFindForwardLightsForObject	1	1,000597	/
	I MySlowGame.exe!Camera::UpdateDepthTextures	164	164, 155640)
	MySlowGame.exelCamera::RenderDepthTexture	164	164, 155640)
	▼ MySlowGame.exe!RenderSceneDepthPass	162	162,154447	/
	▶ - MySlowGame.exe!DepthPass::Prepare	104	104,157620)
	▶ - MySlowGame.exelDepthPass::PerformRendering	55	54,995915	j
	▶ HySlowGame.exe!Renderer::GetMaterialCount	2	1,999730)

Over a third of the whole rendering time in our game is spent rendering shadow maps - but if you look at the screenshot of the game, you can see that the shadows aren't even visible. What a waste! Disabling shadows in this case helps performance a lot, with no visual degradation whatsoever.

The rest of the time during rendering is spent running the forward render loop, building render queues, and preparing to render. The only way to reduce this cost is to reduce the number of meshes in the scene.

Now let's look at the "**PlayerLoop**" part of the main thread.

Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie _s
	MySlowGame.exe!MainMessageLoop	966	966,081624
	- MySlowGame.exelPostLateUpdate_FinishFrameRendering	598	598,151589
	I - MySlowGame.exelPlayerLoop	366	365,928842
	▼ - MySlowGame.exelMonoBehaviour:Update	350	349,842136
	MySlowGame.exelMonoBehaviour::CallMethodlfAvailable	350	349,842136
	MySlowGame.exelScriptingInvocationNoArgs::Invoke	350	349,842136
	MySlowGame.exelScriptingInvocationNoArgs::Invoke	350	349,842136
	MySlowGame.exelscripting_method_invoke_no_args	350	349,842136
	mono.dll!mono_runtime_invoke	350	349,842136
	mono.dll!mono_jit_runtime_invoke	350	349,842136
	?!?	350	349,842136
	?!?	350	349,842136
	?!?	350	349,842136
	?!?	350	349,842136
	- MySlowGame.exelObject_CUSTOM_FindObjectsOfType	299	298,746242
	▼ -?!?	45	45,098177
	- mono.dll!mono_object_castclass	18	17,996389
	▶ -?!? <itself></itself>	15	15,051351
	▼ - mono.dll!mono_array_new_specific	12	12,050437
	mono.dll!GC_malloc	12	12,050437
	▷ - mono.dll!GC_generic_malloc	11	11,051011
	- mono.dll!_VEC_memzero	1	0,999426
	▶ -?!? <itself></itself>	4	3,998280
	- mono.dll!mono_get_lmf_addr	1	0,999719
	- kernel32.dll!TisGetValueStub	1	0,999718
	▼ - MySlowGame.exelJobQueue::ExecuteOneJob	10	10,084015
	I MySlowGame.exelJobQueue::Exec	8	8,083418
	I MySlowGame.exelPhysxlobFunc	4	4,082529
	b HySlowGame.exelphysk::Cm::DelegateTask <physk::sc::scene,&physk::sc::scene::broadphase>::runInternal</physk::sc::scene,&physk::sc::scene::broadphase>	2	2,000890
	I MySlowGame.exelphysx::Cm::DelegateTask <physx::sc::ene,&physx::sc::scene.solvestep>::runInternal</physx::sc::ene,&physx::sc::scene.solvestep>	1	1,081639
	Image:	1	1,000000
	I - MySlowGame.exelphysx:PxLightCpuTask::removeReference	3	3,000889
	I - MySlowGame.exelphysx:shdfnd::MutexImpl::lock	1	1,000000
	I MySlowGame.exelAtomicStack::Pop	2	2,000597
	- MySlowGame.exelPhysicsManager::FixedUpdate	4	4,001497

The first items to address here are the mysterious "?!?" stack frames. These frames are Mono JIT-ed code, which Windows Performance Analyzer cannot decode. Therefore, managed stack frames cannot be shown when using Mono scripting backend. With .NET scripting backend, they can be decoded as long as the trace was recorded with .NET ETW provider enabled, while with IL2CPP scripting backend they can be decoded as long as there is a matching PDB file.

It looks like most of the "**PlayerLoop**" time is taken by a MonoBehaviour update. It calls the "**Object.FindObjectsOfType()**" function multiple times, which is very resource-intensive as evident in the sample count attributed to it. There's also some managed code taking a little time, and finally some physics calculations. The main course of action in this case is to eliminate these "**FindObjectsOfType()**" calls every frame, and perhaps cache the results in the "**Start()**" function.

CPU Usage (Precise) provider

The CPU Usage (Precise) provider shows the precise CPU usage of all threads running in the system, by logging every single context switch that the operating system executes. A context switch is the switching execution on the CPU from one thread to another.

Unlike the CPU Usage (Sampled) provider, CPU Usage (Precise) only indicates which threads are executing at a particular point in time, not what those threads are doing. The only stack trace it gives is the stack trace from where the thread was when it started its execution during the context switch. This provider is usually used for wait analysis, to investigate why a thread isn't running.

The CPU Usage (Precise) provider shows very different data compared to the CPU Usage (Sampled) provider. The CPU Usage (Precise) provider only logs OS context switches, so these events make up the rows of the Analysis tab for this provider. To bring the CPU Usage (Sampled) event provider into the Analysis tab, double-click on it in the Graph Explorer:

Regions of Ir	nterest	Regions of Interest
	No c	lata
▶ Thread Lifet	imes	By Process, Thread
Stacks		Count by Event Name
MM	MMMM	MmmMMmy
- Computati	on MMMMM	www.www
▷ CPU Usage (Sampled)	Utilization by Process, Stack
<u>/~~~~~</u>	<u>www</u>	<u></u>
▷ CPU Usage ((Precise) U	tilization by Process, Thread
V22V22	www.	······
▷ DPC/ISR	DPC/ISR Du	ration by Module, Function

These are the most significant columns in the Analysis tab:

- 12.New Process: The process that owns the new thread.
- 13.New Thread ID: The thread to which the context was switched.
- 14.**New Thread Stack**: The stack trace of the new thread when it was switched in (note: this matches the stack for when it was last switched out).
- 15. Readying Process: The process that owns the readying thread.
- 16.**Readying Thread ID**: The thread that caused the new thread to wake up. This is equal to -1 in cases where the new thread wasn't waiting for anything, and was swapped out because its quantum had run out.
- 17. Count: Total context switch count for that row.
- 18. **Ready**: The moment in time when the new thread became ready to be switched in.

19. **Waits**: The amount of time the new thread waited before it became ready to be switched in. 20. **Switch-In Time**: The moment in time when the new thread was switched in.

CPU Usage (Precise) provider: Example walkthrough

We have a game that runs nicely most of the time, but sometimes a frame rate spike occurs:



Upon zooming into the selected region, we can see that the **CPU Usage (Sampled) provider** had no data of what was happening during the spike (only 11 samples were captured), even though the spike took a massive 942.766 ms:

CPU Usage (Sampled) Utilization by Proc	ess, Stack * 👻 🔎 🏠											🖼 🗹 🗉	x
Series P LagSpike-exe <lagspike- (.,<="" th=""><th>15 10 5 10 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10</th><th>8,60</th><th>96 Weight u 8.65</th><th>sing resource time</th><th>as [TimeStamp-W</th><th>eight, TimeStar 8,85</th><th>8,90</th><th>n) 1</th><th>9,05</th><th>9,10</th><th>9,15 9,2</th><th>0 9,25</th><th>9,30</th></lagspike->	15 10 5 10 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	8,60	96 Weight u 8.65	sing resource time	as [TimeStamp-W	eight, TimeStar 8,85	8,90	n) 1	9,05	9,10	9,15 9,2	0 9,25	9,30
Line # Process	Stack	Count	und Weight (in vie	s_ TimeStamp	(s)							% Weight	Legend
1 LagSpike.exe <lagspike> (5780)</lagspike>	▼ [Root]		11 8,8209	925								0	,12
2	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart		11 8,8209	925								0,	,12 🛄
3	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk		11 8,8209	925								Q	,12 🛄
4	I- threadpoolwinrt.dll!Windows::System::Threading:	:C	7 4,8211	183								0	,06 🛄
	a												
✓ Generic Events Activity by Provider, Task, Series ✓ LagSpike.exe <lagspike> (✓ Microsoft-Windows-DX</lagspike>	Opcode* ~ D D												
Present win:Stop	b b												
	840 845 850 855	8.60	8.65	8.70 8.75	8.80	8.85	8.90	8.95 9.00	9.05	9.10	9.15 9.2	0 9.25	930
Line # Process Provide	r Name	Task Name	Opcode N Id	E	ent Name	C Thr	re Result (Fiel	Field 2	Field 3	Field 4	Fi Count	Time (s)	Legend
1 LagSpike.exe <lagspik micro<="" td=""><td>osoft-Windows-DXGI</td><td>Present</td><td>win:Stop</td><td>r 43</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td></lagspik>	osoft-Windows-DXGI	Present	win:Stop	r 43							2		
2				N	icrosoft-Wind	4 12 0	096 0				1	8,361966973	
3				N	icrosoft-Wind	7 12 (096 0				1	9,304733436	
Start: 8.361	2622795 100001 protection protect				****	0.05					>		
End: 9-3856 Duration: 0-9433	///8155 0,40 8,45 8,50 8,55 7149365 <	8,00	0,03	a, ro 8, / 5	8,80	6,65	0,90	0,95 9,00	9,05	9,10	9,15 9,2	0 9,25	>

This usually indicates that the game was not actually executing. Let's look at the **CPU Usage (Precise) provider** data to confirm our theory:

▲ CPU Usage (Precise) Utilization by Proces	s, Thread * 👻 🛛 🔒	¢ ¢									🗃 🖂 🗆 🗆 🗙
Series			% CPU Usage using resource tir	ne as [Switch-In Tin	e,Switch-In Time	+New Switch-In Time	(Aggregation: Sum)				
LagSpike.exe <lagspik< td=""><td>20</td><td>anta di fasta di fata da fata di fata d</td><td>היה לקוור היה קייר היה אוריי קייר היה היה אוריי אוריי היה היה היה היה היה היה היה היה היה</td><td>Ant privile</td><td>وبروبي</td><td>pininipin</td><td>شىتىتىتى مەر</td><td></td><td>۰،،،۴<u>۲</u>٬۰۰۰</td><td>للبسيسين</td><td>+</td></lagspik<>	20	anta di fasta di fata da fata di fata d	היה לקוור היה קייר היה אוריי קייר היה היה אוריי אוריי היה היה היה היה היה היה היה היה היה	Ant privile	وبروبي	pininipin	شىتىتىتى مەر		۰،،،۴ <u>۲</u> ٬۰۰۰	للبسيسين	+
5 15 ADD	- 6,40	0,45 0,50 0,55	200 203 270	0,75	0,00 0		0,93 9,	5,05	5,10 5,		9,25 9,50
Line # New Process	New Thread Id	New Thread Stack	Readying Process	Readying	Count C Kea	ady (µs) _{Sum} Re	ady (µs) Max W	aits (µs) _{Sum} W	aits (µs) Max C	.ount:w	% CPU Usage _{Sum} Legend
I	22.426	h (Post)			745	7 830,423	31,300	34 322 302,440	022 404 550	742	0,05
3	25 430	F[Root]			13	106 203	28,086	9 265 358 207	8 335 781 186	12	0.05
4	20.264	k [Root]			57	577 534	18,432	9203 330,207	16 618 690	57	0.05
5	2 202	k [Root]			07	1 325 940	22 528	940 428 228	10 474 959	07	0.03
6	4 444	F[Root]			92	1 015 805	16 092	940 742 740	10 483 150	92	0.03
7	16 936	k [Root]			56	808 665	20 187	930 370 181	16 632 138	56	0.03
8	15 420	k [Root]			94	1 288 489	17 554	936 938 723	10 032 589	94	0.02
9	10.404	k [Root]			0.4	1 155 666	21 358	937 756 453	10 048 095	94	0.01
10	12 006	k [Root]			10	144 237	20,772	942 462 199	025 003 012	10	0.01
11	3.872	k [Root]			31	182,858	15 799	959 378 707	942 315 608	30	0.01
12	22 624	b [Root]			28	150 674	9,070	959 428 151	942 332 870	28	0.01
13	8 340	k [Root]			33	173 493	8 777	959 414 988	942 339 892	33	0.01
14	25.968	k [Root]			30	150 730	8 777	050 423 764	942 333 456	30	0.01
15	24 352	k [Root]			32	207.435	31 306	959 406 792	942 318 242	32	0,00
16	9.620	h [Root]			32	217 675	26 331	050 441 025	042 325 556	21	0,00
17	18 560	h [Root]			32	27 703	8 484	050 001 603	942 323,530		0,00
18	10 300	b [Root]				21 358	7 314	8 152 188 081	8 152 145 387		0,00
18	23 612	b [Root]			4	21,330	5 550	059 702 572	058 602 017		0,00
20	18 412	k [Root]				17 555	9,000	058 752 802	058 738 557		0,00
21	3 680	[Root]			3	12,872	5,266	958 794,440	958 763,427	3	0,00

CPU Usage (Precise) provider shows what we already knew: the CPU was not used a lot by our process during that spike. However, it still detected that our process used the CPU for brief moments of time - it had its thread switched in a total of 745 times. Even though there are so many active threads, most of them will not be very relevant to our investigation: there will be Unity's JobQueue threads, Enlighten global illumination threads, OS

thread pool threads, and others that we can't affect. We're really only interested in figuring out why Unity's main thread wasn't doing anything: after all, that's what affects our framerate.

Our main thread in this case is thread **23436**, which has a total of 25 context switch-ins recorded between the two frames. If we filter to only this thread, we can see that it was doing nothing (or waiting for something) almost the whole time:



To understand what the thread was doing, we need to take a look at its stack during the first switch-in after the spike. To do this, sort the context switches by the **Switch-In Time (s)** column, so we can see which context switch was the first one after the spike:

New Thread Id	Count _{C.}	Switch-In Time (s)	Ready (µs) _{Sum}	Ready (µs) Max	Waits (µs) _{Sum}	Waits (µs) Max
	1	8,368730948	6,730	6,730	103,570	103,570
	1	8,368975830	15,213	15,213	70,803	70,803
	1	8,369065650	4,096	4,096	30,720	30,720
	1	8,369326917	15,506	15,506	183,443	183,443
	1	8,369503923	15,799	15,799	14,921	14,921
	1	8,369852962	15,799	15,799	301,642	301,642
	1	8,369990470	3,803	3,803	28,672	28,672
	1	9,303839043	16,092	16,092	933 494,560	933 494,560

We can clearly see which context switch happened just after the spike, and after filtering our view to it, we can see where our thread was switched in:

⊯ CPU	Usage (Precise) Utilization by Proces	s, Thread * 👻 🔯 👂	¢				🗃 🖂 💷 😑 ;
Series		_	% CPU Usage using resource time as [Switch-In Time,Switch-In Ti	ne+New Switch-In Time] (Aggregation: Sum)			
▼ LagSj ▶ 23	pike.exe <lagspike> (436</lagspike>	0					
		9,30375	9,30380 9,30385 9,30390 9,30395 9,30400 9,30405	9,30410 9,30415 9,304	0 9,304	125 9,30430 9,30	435 9,30440
Line #	New Process	New Thread Id New	v Thread Stack	Readying Process	Readying	Count Ready (µs) com	% CPU Usage Com Legend
1	LagSpike.exe <lagspike> (5780)</lagspike>	23 436 🔻 [F	Root]			1 16.092	10,53
2			ntdll.dlllRtlUserThreadStart			1 16,092	10,53
3		1	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk			1 16,092	10,53
4		1	threadpoolwinrt.dll!Windows::System::Threading::CThreadPoolWorkItem::TimeSlicedCallback			1 16,092	10,53
5		1	threadpoolwinrt.dll!Windows::System::Threading::CThreadPoolWorkItem::CommonWorkCallback			1 16,092	10,53
6			UnityPlayer.dll!Windows::System::Threading::WorkItemHandler::[Windows::System::Threading::W			1 16,092	10,53
7		1	UnityPlayer.dll! <lambda_481d684f40dc56cba6153be9cd8d842a>::operator()</lambda_481d684f40dc56cba6153be9cd8d842a>			1 16,092	10,53
8		1	UnityPlayer.dll!UnityPlayer::AppCallbacks::_AppThreadImplementation			1 16,092	10,53
9		1	UnityPlayer.dll!UnityPlayer::AppCallbacks::DoPerformUpdateAndRender			1 16,092	10,53
10		1	UnityPlayer.dll!UnityPlayer::AppCallbacks::MetroMainLoop			1 16,092	10,53
11			UnityPlayer.dll!PlayerLoop			1 16,092	10,53
12			UnityPlayer.dll!BaseBehaviourManager::CommonUpdate <behaviourmanager></behaviourmanager>			1 16,092	10,53
13			UnityPlayer.dll!MonoBehaviour::CallUpdateMethod			1 16,092	10,53
14		1	UnityPlayer.dll!MonoBehaviour::CallMethodlfAvailable			1 16,092	10,53
15		1	UnityPlayer.dll!ScriptingInvocationNoArgs::Invoke			1 16,092	10,53
16			UnityPlayer.dll!ScriptingInvocationNoArgs::Invoke			1 16,092	10,53
17			UnityPlayer.dll!scripting_method_invoke_no_args			1 16,092	10,53
18		I	UnityPlayer.dll!metro_invoke_method			1 16,092	10,53
19			LagSpike.dll!WinRTBridgeCLR_MethodTools_InvokeMethodDelegateWinRTBridgeImpl.Rever			1 16,092	10,53
20			LagSpike.dll!WinRTBridge.MethodTools.InvokeMethodDelegate.InvokeOpenStaticThunk			1 16,092	10,53
21		I	LagSpike.dll!UnityEngine.Internal.\$MethodUtility.InvokeMethod			1 16,092	10,53
22			LagSpike.dlllSaveGamePeriodically.\$Invoke6	T		1 16,092	10,53
23			SharedLibrary.dlllSystem.Threading.Tasks.Task.Wait			1 16,092	10,53
24			SharedLibrary.dll!System.Threading.Tasks.Task.Wait			1 16,092	10,53
25			SharedLibrary.dll!System.Threading.Tasks.Task.InternalWait			1 16,092	10,53
26			SharedLibrary.dll!System.Threading.Tasks.Task.SpinThenBlockingWait			1 16,092	10,53
27			SharedLibrary.dlllSystem.Threading.ManualResetEventSlim.Wait			1 16,092	10,53
28			SharedLibrary.dll!System.Threading.Condition.Wait			1 16,092	10,53
29			SharedLibrary.dll!System.Threading.WaitHandle.WaitOne			1 16,092	10,53
30			SharedLibrary.dlllSystem.Threading.WaitHandle.WaitOne			1 16,092	10,53
31			SharedLibrary.dll!System.Threading.WaitHandle.InternalWaitOne			1 16,092	10,53
32			SharedLibrary.dll!System.Threading.WaitHandle.WaitOneNative			1 16,092	10,53
33			SharedLibrary.dll:System. I hreading.LowLevel I hread.WaitForSingleObject			1 16,092	10,53
34			SharedLibrary.dlllSystem.Threading.LowLevelThread.WaitForMultipleObjects			1 16,092	10,53
35			SharedLibrary.dll!interop.mincore.WaitForMultipleObjectsEx			1 16,092	10,53
36			KernelBase.dll:WaitForMultipleObjectsEx			1 16,092	10,53
37			ntall.all:ZwwaitForMultipleObjects			1 16,092	10,53
38			moskmi.exempseenseeviceExit			1 16,092	10,03
39			ntoskrni.exeintivaiti oriniutipieObjects			16,092	10,53

So, it seems that the culprit is a script named "**SaveGamePeriodically**". It seems to be doing something expensive in its **Update()** function (note: we cannot see "**Update()**" function in the callstack because the JIT most likely inlined it, but we can tell the script name from its invoker method "**SaveGamePeriodically**. **\$Invoke6**", and we can tell that it is an update method because higher in the call stack we can see the Unity's function "**CallUpdateMethod**").

We identified where the time is spent waiting, but we still don't know what it is waiting **for**. To do that, we can check the **"Readying Process**" and **"Readying Thread Id**" columns, which tell us which thread was responsible for bring our thread out of the wait:

New Thread Stack	Readying Process	Readying Thread Id
SharedLibrary.dll!System.Threading.LowLevelThread.WaitForMultipleObjects		
SharedLibrary.dll!Interop.mincore.WaitForMultipleObjectsEx		
KernelBase.dll!WaitForMultipleObjectsEx		
ntdll.dll!ZwWaitForMultipleObjects		
ntoskrnl.exe!KiSystemServiceExit		
ntoskrnl.exe!NtWaitForMultipleObjects		
ntoskrnl.exe!ObWaitForMultipleObjects		
ntoskrnl.exe!KeWaitForSingleObject		
ntoskrnl.exe!KiCommitThreadWait		
ntoskrnl.exe!KiSwapThread		
ntoskrnl.exe!KiSwapContext		
ntoskrnl.exe!SwapContext		
	LagSpike.exe <lagspike> (5780)</lagspike>	16 568

Our thread was waiting for thread 16568. But what was thread 16568 doing?

New Thread Id	Readying Process	Readying	Count c.	Switch-In Time (s)	Ready (µs) _{Sum}	Ready (µs) Max	Waits (µs) Sum	Waits (µs) Max
			745		7 856,425	31,306	34 522 562,440	8 335 781,186
Þ 23 436			25		242,247	21,650	955 183,809	933 494,560
▼ 16 568			13		106,203	28,086	9 265 358,207	8 335 781,186
	LagSpike.exe <lagspike> (5780)</lagspike>	23 436	1	8,370107792	16,677	16,677	8 335 781,186	8 335 781,186
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	24 416	1	8,370206389	5,852	5,852	15,506	15,506
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	24 416	1	9,298118673	3,511	3,511	927 882,149	927 882,149
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	9,298375259	7,022	7,022	32,475	32,475
	svchost.exe (1012)	27 836	1	9,298604050	4,388	4,388	32,476	32,476

It turns out that thread **16568** was waiting for yet another thread for over 927 ms, and that other thread is from another process! Let's look at the CPU usage of thread **24416**:

New Thread Id	Readying Process	Readying	Count c.	Switch-In Time (s)	Ready (µs) _{Sum}	Ready (µs) Max	Waits (µs) _{Sum}	Waits (µs) Max
▽ 24 416			8		73,728	16,677	928 208,076	927 438,318
	LagSpike.exe <lagspike> (5780)</lagspike>	23 436	1	8,369535521	7,314	7,314	33,939	33,939
	LagSpike.exe <lagspike> (5780)</lagspike>	16 568	1	8,370185031	5,852	5,852	605,039	605,039
	LagSpike.exe <lagspike> (5780)</lagspike>	16 568	1	8,370233013	5,559	5,559	12,581	12,581
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	24 452	1	9,297729260	16,677	16,677	927 438,318	927 438,318
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	9,297819957	3,803	3,803	32,183	32,183
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	2 896	1	9,297944885	15,799	15,799	28,379	28,379
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	2 896	1	9,298022124	15,213	15,213	24,576	24,576
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	9,298088831	3,511	3,511	33,061	33,061

Apparently, thread **24416** was waiting for 927 ms for thread **24452**! Let's keep following the chain:

New Thread Id	Readying Process	Readying	Count c.	Switch-In Time (s)	Ready (µs) _{Sum}	Ready (µs) Max	Waits (µs) _{Sum}	Waits (µs) Max
▼ 24 452			15		155,939	18,139	9 251 541,782	8 335 952,048
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	24 416	1	8,370268706	6,729	6,729	8 335 952,048	8 335 952,048
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	8,370420551	4,681	4,681	54,418	54,418
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	2 896	1	8,371491950	17,846	17,846	998,842	998,842
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	2 896	1	8,371580307	15,799	15,799	28,672	28,672
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	8,371658131	3,511	3,511	43,885	43,885
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	9 264	1	8,372785119	15,506	15,506	72,266	72,266
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	9 264		8,429091496	15,799	15,799	56 269,513	56 269,513
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	8,430867116	3,511	3,511	75,776	75,776
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	2 896	1	8,430974782	15,213	15,213	38,035	38,035
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	2 896	1	9,288805518	18,139	18,139	857 766,955	857 766,955
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	9,288921962	4,096	4,096	47,689	47,689
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	2 896	1	9,297316441	15,799	15,799	30,135	30,135
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	9,297455705	4,096	4,096	72,558	72,558
	RuntimeBroker.exe (5004)	2 896	1	9,297554009	8,485	8,485	27,501	27,501
	svchost.exe (1012)	23 744	1	9,297684789	6,729	6,729	63,489	63,489

This thread has actually been waiting twice: for thread **9264** for 56 ms, and for thread **2896** for 857 ms. Let's ignore the shorter wait and focus on the longer one. So, what was thread **2896** doing?



Thread **2896** has been busy during the whole frame rate spike. This is the final thread in the chain that our **Update()** function was waiting for. Let's find out what it was actually doing this whole time, using the **CPU Usage (Sampled) provider**:

Line #	Process	Thread ID	Stack	Count Sum	Weight (in vie s
10			combase.dll!ComInvokeWithLockAndIPID	860	860,095513
11			combase.dll!Appinvoke	860	860,095513
12			combase.dll!ServerCall::ContextInvoke	860	860,095513
13			combase.dll!DefaultStubinvoke	860	860,095513
14			combase.dll!ObjectMethodExceptionHandlingAction< <lambda_b8ffcec6d47a5635f374132234a8dd15> ></lambda_b8ffcec6d47a5635f374132234a8dd15>	860	860,095513
15			combase.dlllCStdStubBuffer_Invoke	860	860,095513
16			rpcrt4.dll!NdrStubCall3	860	860,095513
17			rpcrt4.dll!Ndr64StubWorker	860	860,095513
18			rpcrt4.dll!Invoke	860	860,095513
19			I - windows.storage.dll!CThreadAffineStorageQueryServer::CreateStorageQueryItemArray	857	857,094309
20			windows.storage.dlllCStorageQueryItemArray::CreateStorageItems	857	857,094309
21			windows.storage.dlll!Windows::Internal::NativeString <windows::internal::localmempolicy<unsigned short=""> >::_EnsureCapacity</windows::internal::localmempolicy<unsigned>	857	857,094309
22			▼ - windows.storage.dll!CreateStorageItemFromShellItem < CStorageFolder,CStorageFile>	610	610,081858
23			▼ -windows.storage.dll!lsItemUnderHomeGroup	200	200,023057
24			▼ + windows.storage.dll!IsIDListUnderHomeGroup	198	198,022742
25			▶ - windows.storage.dll!CShellItem::GetCLSID	195	195,022708
26			▶ -windows.storage.dll!SHCreateltemFromIDList	2	2,000023
27			▶ - windows.storage.dll!CShellItem::Release	1	1,000011
28			▶ + windows.storage.dll!SHGetlDListFromObject	2	2,000315
29			▼ - windows.storage.dll!CreateStorageItemFromShellItem <cstoragefolder,cstoragefile></cstoragefolder,cstoragefile>	153	153,033644
30			I - windows.storage.dll!CStorageltem::Initialize	152	152,033633
31			I windows.storage.dll!Microsoft::WRL::Details::Make <cstoragefolder></cstoragefolder>	1	1,000011
32			▼ -windows.storage.dll!lsItemUnderLibrary	100	100,016945
33			windows.storage.dll!GetLibraryAncestor	100	100,016945
34			▶ + windows.storage.dll!CShellItem::BindToHandler	94	94,015705
35			I - windows.storage.dll!CShellitem::GetParent	4	4,000339
36			▶ SHCore.dll!!Unknown_Set	1	1,000889
37			- windows.storage.dll!GetLibraryAncestor <itself></itself>	1	1,000012
38			🕨 📔 sindows.storage.dll!lsitemUnderIndexedAppdata	55	54,996226
39			▶ -windows.storage.dll!CShellItem::BindToHandler	42	42,004572
40			▶ windows.storage.dll!UpdateManager::IsForcedReadOnlyCachedFile	28	28,003539
41			I windows.storage.dll!SetHiddenPropertyStore	16	16,002818
42			I windows.storage.dll!CallerIdentity::GetPackageFamilyNameFromProcess	8	7,999797

At first sight, thread **2896** looks like it's creating some kind of shell storage item array, and is querying its various properties. Unfortunately, we cannot really find out why it's taking so long - the whole code in the stacktrace is part of Windows OS, and is not available publicly.

The only actionable thing to do here is to not do the call that causes the spike. Apparently, our **Update()** function was trying to enumerate AppData directory when it wanted to save the game - a process that can be very resource-intensive. It would be wise to do this enumeration at times when the delay caused by doing so would not impact the user (e.g. between screens, or when loading a scene), or find some other less resource-intensive way of getting the data we need to save the game.

VirtualAlloc Commit provider

Unity's own built-in memory usage profiler can show you why the engine is using a certain amount of memory. However, the real memory usage is usually higher than the Unity memory profiler might suggest. The difference usually comes from the fact that Unity only knows how much it has itself allocated, and estimates the memory usage outside of its control (such as loaded executable images, graphics drivers, and plug-ins).

The VirtualAlloc Commit provider shows the allocated memory detected by the operating system. It is different to Unity's built-in memory usage profiler, as it counts every single low-level instance of operating system virtual memory allocation, and allows you to investigate what led to the allocation.

To bring the VirtualAlloc Commit provider into the Analysis tab, expand the memory graph in the Graph Explorer and double-click the **VirtualAlloc Commit LifeTimes** graph:

⊳	Computation	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
₽	Storage	
	$\mathcal{A}$	
-	Memory	
	Memory Utilization	Utilization by Category
Þ	Hard Faults	Count
		~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Γ	VirtualAlloc Commit LifeTimes	Outstanding Commit by Pr
	Virtual Memory Snapshots	Default
1		

Each row in the VirtualAlloc Commit provider's Analysis tab represents a virtual memory allocation. These are the most important columns of this provider:

- 21. Process: The process allocating the memory.
- 22. Committing Thread Id: The ID number of the thread that is allocating the memory.
- 23.**Commit Stack**: The stack trace at the time of memory allocation.
- 24. Decommit Stack: The stack trace at the time of freeing the allocated memory.
- 25.**Commit Time**: The point in time that the memory was allocated.
- 26. **Decommit Time**: The point in time that the memory was freed.
- 27.Address: The start address of the allocated memory region.
- 28.**Count**: The total allocation count in the row.
- 29. **Impacting Size**: The size of the allocated memory region, if the memory was not freed before the end of the zoomed region. If the memory was freed in the same region, the impact size is given as 0.

When investigating high memory usage, you'll mainly be focusing on allocated memory that was not freed. The **Impacting Size** column is the main focus point.

After capturing a trace, open the VirtualAlloc Commit provider Details view and filter the view to your own process to see something like this:

✓ VirtualAlloc Commit LifeTimes Outst	tanding Commit by Process * 👻 👂 🖡	3		🖼 🖂 🗆 – – ×
Series		Peak Outstanding Siz	e using resource time as [Commit Time,Decommit Time] (Aggregation: Sum)	
✓ Nightmares.exe (8896)     ✓     ✓ Nightmares.exe (8896)     ✓     ✓ 13 440     ✓     ✓ 13 450     ✓ 13 956     ✓     ✓ 11 396     ✓     ✓ 11 7236     ✓     ✓     ✓ 5 5 55	200 MB			······································
b 27.048	0 2 4 6	8 10 12 14 16 18 20	22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48	50 52 54 56
Line # Process	Committing ThreadId	Commit Stack	Impacting Size (MB)	Size (MB) Sum Legend
1 🔻 Nightmares.exe (8896)			0,000	323,828
2		▶ [Root]	0,000	120,254
3	18 584	▷ [Root]	0,000	54,047
4	27 244	▷ [Root]	0,000	17,832
5	21 396	Þ [Root]	0,000	17,719
6	17 236	▶ [Root]	0,000	15,301
7	5 256	▷ [Root]	0,000	13,281
8	27 048	▶ [Root]	0,000	12,535
9	13 260	▶ [Root]	0,000	12,531
10	28 256	▷ [Root]	0,000	12,520
11	25 028	▶ [Root]	0,000	12,277
12	13 184	▶ [Root]	0,000	12,273
13	24 628	▷ [Root]	0,000	12,273
14	25 484	▷ [Root]	0,000	6,355
15	18 728	▷ [Root]	0,000	1,148
16	11 204	▶ [Root]	0,000	0,484
17	17 160	▶ [Root]	0,000	0,414
18	9 732	▶ [Root]	0,000	0,277
19	10 496	▶ [Root]	0,000	0,199
20	24 956	▶ [Root]	0,000	0,184
21	17.740	h (Dens)	0.000	0.160

As you can see, the **Impacting Size** is reported to be OMB on every single thread. This is due to the fact that the process both starts and exits while the stack is being recorded.

## VirtualAlloc Commit provider: Example Walkthrough

Let's say we're interested in looking at memory usage at its peak. To do so, we need to select a time range which starts before the process was started, and ends at its memory usage peak:



After zooming into the selected region on the graph, we can now see the **Impacting Size (MB)** column telling us how much memory was used at the end of the selection:

▲ VirtualAlloc Commit LifeTimes Outstand	ing Commit by Process * 👻 📓 👂	¢		🔛 🖂 🗆 🗆 🗙
Series		Peak Outstanding Size	e using resource time as [Commit Time,Decommit Time] (Aggregation: Sum)	
v         10 Job         2           b         5255         2           b         13 184         1           b         24 255         1           b         24 255         1           b         27 048         2	00 MB	······		17 16
Line # Process	Committing ThreadId	Commit Stack	Impacting Size (MB)	Size (MB) Sum Legend
1 Vightmares.exe (8896)			241,250	306,379
2	13 440	▷ [Root]	99,422	110,355
3	18 584	▶ [Root]	38,922	54,012
4	5 256	▶ [Root]	13,281	13,281 🛄
5		▶ [Root]	12,277	12,277
6	13 184	▷ [Root]	12,273	12,273
7	24 628	▷ [Root]	12,273	12,273
8	28 256	▷ [Root]	12,273	12,273
9	27 048	▷ [Root]	12,273	12,273
10	25 028	▶ [Root]	12,273	12,273
11	27 244	▷ [Root]	8,523	17,832
12	17 236	▶ [Root]	1,723	15,250
13	21 396	▷ [Root]	1,438	17,707
14	18 728	▷ [Root]	1,086	1,086
15	25 484	▶ [Root]	1,082	1,082
16	9 732	▷ [Root]	0,277	0,277
17	11 944	▷ [Root]	0,160	0,160
18	25 040	▷ [Root]	0,129	0,129
19	17 984	▶ [Root]	0,086	0,086
20	4 640	▷ [Root]	0,082	0,082
21	10 496	▶ [Root]	0,074	0,074
22	19 268	▷ [Root]	0,074	0,074
23	26 728	▶ [Root]	0,070	0,070
24	11 204	▷ [Root]	0,070	0,070 🗔 🗸

Let's investigate the memory investigations of each thread, starting with the ones that allocated at least a megabyte. Anything less than that is probably insignificant.

Straight away, we can identify that threads 17236, 21396 and 25484 allocate memory for the graphics driver:

Committing ThreadId	Commit Stack	Impacting Size (MB)	Size (MB) Size (MB)
17 236	▼ [Root]	1,723	15,250
	I- ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	1,719	15,246
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	1,719	15,246
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	1,719	15,246
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,719	15,246
	atidxx32.dll <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,719	15,246
	atidxx32.dll <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,719	15,246
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,719	15,246
	atidxx32.dll <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,719	15,246
	atidxx32.dll <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,719	15,246 🗔
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,719	15,246
	I  - atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,672	15,188
	I  - ntdll.dll!RtlAllocateHeap	0,047	0,059
	I- ntdll.dll!LdrlnitializeThunk	0,004	0,004
21 396	▼ [Root]	1,438	17,707
	I- ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	1,434	17,703
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	1,434	17,703
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	1,434	17,703
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,434	17,703
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,434	17,703
	atidxx32.dll <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,434	17,703 🗔
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,434	17,703
	I - atidxx32.dll <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,434	17,270
	I  - ntdll.dll!RtiFreeHeap	0,000	0,434
	I- ntdll.dll!LdrlnitializeThunk	0,004	0,004 🛄
18 728	▶ [Root]	1,086	1,086
25 484	▼ [Root]	1,082	1,082
	I- ntdll.dll_RtlUserThreadStart	1,078	1,078
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	1,078	1,078
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	1,078	1,078 🛄
	atidxx32.dll< <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,078	1,078 🛄
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,078	1,078
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,078	1,078
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,078	1,078
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,078	1,078
	atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1,078	1,078
	L stide/22 dll/zDDB pot founds	1 070	1 070

You can't really influence what the driver does, and since we don't have symbols for the driver either, we can't tell why it's allocating the memory. Let's move on.

#### Thread 18728 is Unity's **AsyncReadManager** thread:

🕢 VirtualAlloc Commit LifeTimes Outstanding Commit by Process * 🗸 🖂 🖉 🏟		
Series Peak Outstanding Size using resource time as [Commit Time,Deco	ummit Time] (Aggregation: Sum)	
V 15 200		
▶ 13 184 200 MB		
Þ 24 628		
28 256		
27 048 100 MB		
25.028		
0 MB		
	11 12 13 14 15	16 17 18
Ine # Process Committing I hreadid Commit Stack	Count Sum Impacting Size (MB)	Size (MB) Sum Legend
7 24 628 P [Koot]	8 12,273	12,273
8 28 250 P [Koot]	7 12,273	12,273
9 27 048 (Root)	7 12,273	12,273
10 25 028 (Root)	11 12,273	12,273
11 27 244 b [Root]	95 8,523	17,832
12 18 728 = [Root]	7 1,086	1,086
13 v I- ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	4 1,070	1,070
14   ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	4 1,070	1,070
15   kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	4 1,070	1,070
16   Nightmares.exelThread::RunThreadWrapper	4 1,070	1,070
17 V I - Nightmares.exelAsyncReadManagerThreaded::StaticThreadEntry	1 1,004	1,004
18 I Nightmares.exel/AsyncReadManagerThreaded::ThreadEntry	1 1.004	1.004
19 L Nightmares.exelOpenFileCacherOpenCached	1 1.004	1.004
20 L NightmaresevelFile::Open	1 1004	1.004
21     Nichtmarge gestüller/DearSileSustemEntry	1 1004	1.004
22 I Nightmarker webpetitiety	1 1004	1,004
22 L Niedrawsze szilkamoritányaszt Allocate	1 1004	1,004
23    Ingininaresective interpretation of the sector of th	1 1004	1,004
24 I Nightmares.ee/Durin teapAndeators with response to the Annual	1 1,004	1,004
23	1 1,004	1,004
26   Nightmares.exelMemoryManager:LowLevelAllocate	1 1,004	1,004
2/   Nightmares.exel_aligned_malloc	1 1,004	1,004
28 Nightmares.exel_aligned_offset_mailoc	1 1,004	1,004
29   Nightmares.exelmalloc	1 1,004	1,004
30   ntdll.dlllRtlAllocateHeap	1 1,004	1,004
31   ntdll.dll!RtlpAllocateHeapInternal	1 1,004	1,004
32   ntdll.dll!RtlpAllocateHeap	1 1,004	1,004
33   ntdll.dlllZwAllocateVirtualMemory	1 1,004	1,004
34     ntdll.dlllLdrlnitializeThunk	1 1,004	1,004
35     ntdll.dll!_LdrpInitialize	1 1,004	1,004
36   wow64.dlllWow64LdrpInitialize	1 1,004	1,004
37   wow64.dll!RunCpuSimulation	1 1,004	1,004
38 J wow64cpu.dllServiceNoTurbo	1 1,004	1,004
39 www64.dll/Wow64SystemServiceEx	1 1.004	1.004
40 L www64.dllwhNtAllocateVirtualMemory	1 1.004	1.004
41 L trill dWNABIccateVirtualMemory	1 1004	1004
42     http://www.common.com/	1 1004	1004
42   Instantiacensisteriorecopycind	1 1004	1,004

**AsyncReadManager** is a dedicated thread for reading files from disk. You may have noticed two peculiarities with this stack trace:

- It allocated an incredibly round number of bytes during one of its allocations: 1.004 MB.
- That allocation comprises the majority of the total memory used by the thread, and was allocated by the "File::Open" function.

It would seem unlikely that opening a single file would cost so much memory, and for this to be the reason for the majority of thread memory usage. However, this isn't a coincidence - it's a consequence of how Unity allocates memory internally.

Unlike many applications, Unity doesn't request memory from the OS and then return it once it's no longer needed. Instead, it requests memory in chunks of 1 MB, and reuses these chunks without giving them back to the OS. You can see the difference between Unity actually using the memory versus requesting it from the OS by looking at the used and reserved memory in Unity's internal memory profiler.

Depending on the target platform, Unity either keeps separate memory reservations per thread (on desktops/consoles), or has a shared pool for all the worker threads (on mobile devices). On Windows, shared pool is used only on ARM devices.

Due to the way Unity manages its memory, the VirtualAlloc Commit provider can't really show what Unity is doing with it - if you want to investigate that, you'll have to use Unity's internal memory profiler. You can recognize Unity-managed allocation by looking at the callstack and seeing whether

"MemoryManager::Allocate" is part of it. You will almost never want to look at these allocations with Windows Performance Analysis.

The next thread in the list is thread 27244:

Committing Threadld	Commit Stack	Count _{Sum}	, Impacting Size (MB) _	Size (MB) Sum Legend
27 244		95	i 8,523	17,832
	ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	95	i 8,523	17,832
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	95	i 8,523	17,832
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	95	i 8,523	17,832
	Nightmares.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	95	i 8,523	17,832
	▼  - Nightmares.exe!PreloadManager::Run	92	8,266	17,574
	Nightmares.exelPreloadManager::Run	92	8,266	17,574
	Nightmares.exelLoadSceneOperation::Perform	92	8,266	17,574
	I  - Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::LoadFileCompletelyThreaded	88	5,254	12,559
	Nightmares.exelSerializedFile::ReadObject	88	5,254	12,559
	I   I Nightmares.exe!Mesh::VirtualRedirectTransfer	4	3,012	3,926
	I   I Nightmares.exelAnimationClip::VirtualRedirectTransfer	1	1,004	1,004
	I   - Nightmares.exe!MonoBehaviour::VirtualRedirectTransfer	52	2 0,730	0,730
	Nightmares.exelMonoBehaviour::TransferEngineAndInstance <streamedbinar< th=""><th>. 52</th><th>2 0,730</th><th>0,730</th></streamedbinar<>	. 52	2 0,730	0,730
	Nightmares.exe!TransferScriptingObject <streamedbinaryread<0> &gt;</streamedbinaryread<0>	52	2 0,730	0,730
	I     - Nightmares.exelExecuteSerializationCommands <jsonread></jsonread>	50		0,695
	I     - Nightmares.exe!FindCommandsInCache	2	2 0,035	0,035
	I   - Nightmares.exelGameObject::VirtualRedirectTransfer	28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exelGameObject::Transfer <streamedbinaryread<0> &gt;</streamedbinaryread<0>	28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exelStreamedBinaryRead<0>::TransferSTLStyleArray <dynamic_ar< th=""><th>. 28</th><th>3 0,508</th><th>0,508</th></dynamic_ar<>	. 28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exellmmediatePtr <transform>::Transfer<streamedbinaryread<0< th=""><th>. 28</th><th>3 0,508</th><th>0,508</th></streamedbinaryread<0<></transform>	. 28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exelPreallocateObjectFromPersistentManager	28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::PreallocateObjectThreaded	28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::CreateThreadActivationQueueEntry	28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::ProduceObjectInternal	28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exe!MonoBehaviour::RebuildMonoInstance	28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exe!mono_runtime_object_init_exception	28	3 0,508	0,508
	Nightmares.exelmono_runtime_invoke_profiled	28	3 0,508	0,508
	mono.dll!mono_runtime_invoke	28	3 0,508	0,508
	mono.dll!mono_jit_runtime_invoke	28	3 0,508	0,508
	I   - mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_with_opt	6	5 0,293	0,293
	mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_inner	6	5 0,293	0,293
	mono.dll!mini_method_compile	6	5 0,293	0,293
	I       mono.dll!mono_method_to_ir	4	4 0,262	0,262
	mono.dll!mono_mempool_alloc	4	0,262	0,262
	mono.dll!malloc	4	0,262	0,262
	ntdll.dll!RtlAllocateHeap	4	4 0,262	0,262
	ntdll.dll!RtlpAllocateHeapInternal	4	4 0,262	0,262
	ntdll.dll!RtlpLowFragHeapAllocFromContext	4	4 0,262	0,262
	ntdll.dll!RtlpAllocateUserBlockFromHeap	4	4 0,262	0,262
	ntdll.dll!RtlAllocateHeap	4	4 0,262	0,262

This is Unity's loading thread. It can be recognized by the fact that it starts with the "**PreloadManager::Run**" function. Most of the allocations in this thread go through Unity's MemoryManager, so we will not look at that. However, there are also other allocations coming from code JIT-ing:

Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::PreallocateObjectThreaded	28	0,508
Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::CreateThreadActivationQueueEntry	28	0,508
Nightmares.exe!PersistentManager::ProduceObjectInternal	28	0,508
Nightmares.exe!MonoBehaviour::RebuildMonoInstance	28	0,508
Nightmares.exe!mono_runtime_object_init_exception	28	0,508
Nightmares.exe!mono_runtime_invoke_profiled	28	0,508
mono.dll!mono_runtime_invoke	28	0,508
mono.dll!mono_jit_runtime_invoke	28	0,508
▼        - mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_with_opt	6	0,293
mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_inner	6	0,293
mono.dll!mini_method_compile	6	0,293
I       mono.dll!mono_method_to_ir	4	0,262
I       mono.dll!mono_codegen	2	0,031
▼      -?!?	22	0,215
	22	0,215
▼        -?!?	14	0,168
I         mono.dll!mono_magic_trampoline	12	0,145
mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method	12	0,145
mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_with_opt	12	0,145
I         mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_inner	10	0,109
I         mono.dll!mono_runtime_class_init_full	2	0,035
▶         -?!?	2	0,023
I       mono.dll!mono_magic_trampoline	8	0,047
I   I Nightmares.exelShader::VirtualRedirectTransfer	3	0,000

The more scripting code you have, the more memory will be allocated by Mono and .NET JITs at runtime. Note that there's no JIT when using IL2CPP scripting backend or .NET scripting backend with .NET Native enabled.

Let's continue our investigation. The next 7 threads are Unity's JobQueue threads:

5 256	▼ [Root]	9	13,281
	I- ntdll.dll_RtlUserThreadStart	8	13,277
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	8	13,277
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	8	13,277
	Nightmares.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	8	13,277
	▼    - Nightmares.exe!JobQueue::WorkLoop	5	13,020
	I   - Nightmares.exe!profiler_begin_frame_separate_thread	1	12,004
	I   - Nightmares.exelJobQueue::ProcessJobs	4	1,016
	I  - Nightmares.exe!MemoryManager::ThreadInitialize	3	0,258
	I - ntdll.dll!LdrlnitializeThunk	1	0,004
13 260	▼ [Root]	8	12,277
	I- ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart	6	12,270
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	6	12,270
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	6	12,270
	Nightmares.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	6	12,270
	I  - Nightmares.exe!JobQueue::WorkLoop	4	12,016
	I   Nightmares.exe!profiler_begin_frame_separate_thread	1	12,004
	I   - Nightmares.exelJobQueue::ProcessJobs	3	0,012
	I  - Nightmares.exe!MemoryManager::ThreadInitialize	2	0,254
	I - ntdll.dll!LdrInitializeThunk	2	0,008
13 184	▼ [Root]	8	12,273
	I- ntdll.dll!_RtlUserThreadStart	7	12,270
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	7	12,270
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	7	12,270
	Nightmares.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	7	12,270
	I  - Nightmares.exe!JobQueue::WorkLoop	4	12,016
	I   I Nightmares.exe!profiler_begin_frame_separate_thread	1	12,004
	I   - Nightmares.exelJobQueue::ProcessJobs	3	0,012
	I  - Nightmares.exe!MemoryManager::ThreadInitialize	3	0,254
	I - ntdll.dll!LdrlnitializeThunk	1	0,004
24 628	▼ [Root]	8	12,273
	I- ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	7	12,270
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	7	12,270
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	7	12,270
	Nightmares.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	7	12,270
	▼    - Nightmares.exe!JobQueue::WorkLoop	4	12,016
	I   - Nightmares.exelprofiler_begin_frame_separate_thread	1	12,004
	I   - Nightmares.exe!JobQueue::ProcessJobs	3	0,012

As seen from the screenshot, almost all memory allocated for these threads is coming from

"profiler_begin_frame_separate_thread", which allocates 12MB on each thread. Unity pre-allocates 12 MB per JobQueue thread for the profiler events in development builds.

The only other allocation by these threads comes from "UI::SortForBatchingJob":

I   - Nightmares.exe!JobQueue::ProcessJobs	4	1,016
Nightmares.exe!JobQueue::Exec	4	1,016
🔻   📔   - Nightmares.exelUl::SortForBatchingJob	1	1,004
Nightmares.exe!malloc_internal	1	1,004
Nightmares.exe!MemoryManager::Allocate	1	1,004
Nightmares.exe!ThreadsafeLinearAllocator::Allocate	1	1,004
Nightmares.exe!ThreadsafeLinearAllocator::SelectFreeBlock	1	1,004
Nightmares.exe!MemoryManager::LowLevelAllocate	1	1,004
Nightmares.exe!_aligned_malloc	1	1,004
Nightmares.exe!_aligned_offset_malloc	1	1,004
Nightmares.exe!malloc	1	1,004
ntdll.dll!RtlAllocateHeap	1	1,004
ntdll.dll!RtlpAllocateHeapInternal	1	1,004

However, since this is allocated through MemoryManager, we cannot be sure whether that is what is using the memory.

We have two threads to go:

Committing ThreadId	Commit Stack	Count _{Sum}	Impacting Size (MB) 🚆
		1 239	138,344
13 440	▶ [Root]	770	99,422
18 584	▶ [Root]	469	38,922

#### The bottom thread, **18584**, is Unity's rendering thread:

18 584	▼ [Root]	469	38,922
	I- ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	468	38,918
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	468	38,918
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	468	38,918
	Nightmares.exe!Thread::RunThreadWrapper	468	38,918
	I  - Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceWorker::Run	464	34,660
	Nightmares.exe!GfxDeviceWorker::RunCommand	464	34,660
	I   Nightmares.exelprofiler_begin_frame_separate_thread	1	12,004
	I   - Nightmares.exelDrawlmmediate::Begin	2	8,000
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDevice::SyncAsyncResourceUpload	81	3,520
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::UpdateBuffer	8	3,516
	I   - Nightmares.exelCreateGpuProgramQueue::DequeueAll	282	3,371
	I   - Nightmares.exelDynamicVBO::GetChunk	4	1,914
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::UploadTexture2D	58	0,629
	I   - Nightmares.exelGeometryJobTasks::ScheduleGeometryJobs	1	0,496
	I   - Nightmares.exelDrawlmmediate::FlushBuffer	3	0,430
	I   I Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::DrawBuffers	1	0,398
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::PresentFrame	3	0,191
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::CreateColorRenderSurfacePlatform	8	0,164
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::UploadTextureCube	3	0,020
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::UploadTexture2DArray	2	0,008
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDevice::AsyncResourceUpload	1	0,000
	I   - Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::ReadbackImage	6	0,000
	I  - Nightmares.exe!GfxDeviceWorker::RunGfxDeviceWorker	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exe!UnityProfilerPerThread::Initialize	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exeloperator new	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exe!MemoryManager::Allocate	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exe!DualThreadAllocator <dynamicheapallocator<lowlevelallocat< td=""><td>1</td><td>4,004</td></dynamicheapallocator<lowlevelallocat<>	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exe!DynamicHeapAllocator <lowlevelallocator>::Allocate</lowlevelallocator>	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exe!MemoryManager::LowLevelAllocate	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exe!_aligned_malloc	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exe!_aligned_offset_malloc	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exe!malloc	1	4,004
	ntdll.dll!RtlAllocateHeap	1	4,004
	ntdll.dll!RtlpAllocateHeapInternal	1	4,004
	ntdll.dll!RtlpAllocateHeap	1	4,004

We can see that Unity allocates the same 12MB for the profiler in this thread. It also allocates 4MB through the MemoryManager - but only a small portion of this goes to the profiler.

The rest of the allocations on this thread are far more interesting. For example, graphics drivers/Direct3D 11 runtime allocate a total of 3.3 MB for shaders:

🔽 🔽   🔤 - Nightmares.exe!CreateGpuProgramQueue::DequeueAll	282	3,371
Nightmares.exelGfxDevice::CreateGpuProgram	282	3,371
Nightmares.exe!CreateGpuProgram	282	3,371
I     Nightmares.exe!D3D11PixelShader::D3D11PixelShader	161	2,543
Nightmares.exe!D3D11PixelShader::Create	161	2,543
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreatePixelShader	161	2,543
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreatePixelShader_Worker	161	2,543
d3d11.dll!NOutermost::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	161	2,543
I       d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	156	2,520
I       d3d11.dll!CDevice::GetLayeredChildSize	5	0,023
▼       - Nightmares.exe!D3D11VertexShader::D3D11VertexShader	121	0,828
Nightmares.exe!D3D11VertexShader::Create	121	0,828
▼         - d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateVertexShader	118	0,816
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateVertexShader_Worker	118	0,816
d3d11.dll!NOutermost::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	118	0,816
I         d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	113	0,789
I         d3d11.dll!CDevice::GetLayeredChildSize	4	0,016
▶           - ntdll.dll!RtlAllocateHeap	1	0,012
I       Nightmares.exe!SetDebugNameD3D11	3	0,012

We can also see the memory used by vertex and index buffers:

I- Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::UpdateBuffer	8	3,516
I  - Nightmares.exelIndexBufferD3D11::UpdateIndexBuffer	4	2,020
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateBuffer	4	2,020
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateBuffer_Worker	4	2,020
d3d11.dll!NOutermost::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	4	2,020
I   - d3d11.dll!NDXGl::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	2	2,000
▶     - ntdll.dll!RtlAllocateHeap	2	0,020
I  - Nightmares.exe!VertexBufferD3D11::Update	4	1,496
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateBuffer	4	1,496
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateBuffer_Worker	4	1,496
d3d11.dll!NOutermost::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	4	1,496
I   - d3d11.dll!NDXGl::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	2	1,477
d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CResource::FinalConstruct	2	1,477
d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CDeviceChild <idxgiresource1,idxgiswapchaininternal>::Fi</idxgiresource1,idxgiswapchaininternal>	2	1,477
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	2	1,477
d3d11.dll!CLayeredObjectWithCLS <cbuffer>::FinalConstruct</cbuffer>	2	1,477
d3d11.dll!TCLSWrappers <cbuffer>::CLSFinalConstructFn</cbuffer>	2	1,477
d3d11.dll!CResource <id3d11buffer>::CLS::FinalConstruct</id3d11buffer>	2	1,477
atiuxpag.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	2	1,477
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	2	1,477
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	2	1,477
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	2	1,477
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	2	1,477

Uploading textures generally doesn't allocate much memory if the device has dedicated VRAM. At 80 allocations for a total of 3.5 MB, graphics drivers/Direct3D 11 allocated an average of 45 KB per texture:

Nightmares.exelAsyncUploadManager::AsyncResourceUpload		
	81	3,520
I  - Nightmares.exe!UploadTexture2DData	80	3,520
Nightmares.exe!GfxDeviceD3D11Base::UploadTexture2D	80	3,520
Nightmares.exe!TexturesD3D11Base::UploadTexture2D	80	3,520
I   - Nightmares.exe!TexturesD3D11Base::Upload2DData	35	2,934
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateTexture2D	35	2,934
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateTexture2D_Worker	35	2,934
d3d11.dll!NOutermost::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	35	2,934
d3d11.dll!NDXGl::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	35	2,934
d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CResource::FinalConstruct	35	2,934
d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CDeviceChild <idxgiresource1,idxgiswapchaininternal>::Fi</idxgiresource1,idxgiswapchaininternal>	35	2,934
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	35	2,934
d3d11.dll!CResource <id3d11texture2d1>::CLS::FinalConstruct</id3d11texture2d1>	35	2,934
atiuxpag.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	35	2,934
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	35	2,934
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	35	2,934
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	35	2,934
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	35	2,934
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	35	2,934
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	35	2,934
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	35	2,934
▶      - atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	30	2,895
▶      - ntdll.dll!RtlAllocateHeap	5	0,039
I   - d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateTexture2D	40	0,539
I   - d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateShaderResourceView	2	0,023
I   - Nightmares.exelSetDebugNameD3D11	3	0,023
I  - Nightmares.exelAsyncUploadManager::ManageTextureUploadRingBufferMemory	1	0,000

Finally, 8MB is allocated for dynamic vertex buffers:

I- Nightmares.exe!GenericDynamicVBO::ReserveVertexBuffer	1	4,000
Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::UpdateBuffer	1	4,000
Nightmares.exe!VertexBufferD3D11::Update	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateBuffer	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateBuffer_Worker	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!NOutermost::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CResource::FinalConstruct	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!NDXGI::CDeviceChild <idxgiresource1,idxgiswapchaininternal>::FinalC</idxgiresource1,idxgiswapchaininternal>	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateLayeredChild	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!CLayeredObjectWithCLS <cbuffer>::FinalConstruct</cbuffer>	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!TCLSWrappers <cbuffer>::CLSFinalConstructFn</cbuffer>	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!CResource <id3d11buffer>::CLS::FinalConstruct</id3d11buffer>	1	4,000
atiuxpag.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000

I- Nightmares.exelGfxDeviceD3D11Base::BeginBufferWrite	1	4,000
Nightmares.exe!VertexBufferD3D11::BeginWriteVertices	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!CContext::TID3D11DeviceContext_Map_<1>	1	4,000
d3d11.dll!CResource <id3d11resource>::Map&lt;0,4&gt;</id3d11resource>	1	4,000
atiuxpag.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000
atidxx32.dll! <pdb found="" not=""></pdb>	1	4,000

Those were all of the outstanding allocations that the rendering thread did in our game.

Now let's move to Unity's main thread:

Committing ThreadId	Commit Stack	Count _{Sum}	Impacting Size (MB) 🚆
13 440	▼ [Root]	770	99,422
	▼  - ntdll.dlll_RtlUserThreadStart	722	98,980
	ntdll.dll!RtlUserThreadStart	722	98,980
	kernel32.dll!BaseThreadInitThunk	722	98,980
	▼    - Nightmares.exel_tmainCRTStartup	720	98,973
	▼    - Nightmares.exelWinMain	714	97,938
	I   - Nightmares.exelPlayerWinMain	700	63,871
	I     Nightmares.exelMemoryManager:StaticInitialize	3	17,008
	I     Nightmares.exelStaticInitializeGlobalEventQueueInterface	2	8,008
	I   I - Nightmares.exelRuntimeStatic <shaderpasscontext>::Initialize</shaderpasscontext>	1	4,004
	Nightmares.exelRuntimeStatic <profiler::instrumentationmanager>::Initialize</profiler::instrumentationmanager>	1	4,004
	I   I - Nightmares.exelRuntimeStatic <directormanager>::Initialize</directormanager>	1	1,004
	Nightmares.exe!UnityWinRTBase::InitializeWinRTFunctions	5	0,039
	I   I Nightmares.exelRegisterRuntimelnitializeAndCleanup::ExecuteInitializations	1	0,000
	I   Nightmares.exel'dynamic initializer for 'gLODGroupManagerIDPool''	1	1,004
	I   Nightmares.exel'dynamic initializer for 'gPhysics2DProfileContactPreSolveAcquire''	1	0,012
	I   Nightmares.exelSuiteDirectorTests::'dynamic initializer for 'testFixturePlayableTraverse_ATreeOfPlayableUsingAStackTrav	1	0,008
	I   - Nightmares.exel'dynamic initializer for 'SocketLayer::I''	2	0,008
	I   Nightmares.exel_crtGetEnvironmentStringsA	1	0,004
	I  - Nightmares.exel_heap_init	1	0,004
	I  - Nightmares.exel_setenvp	1	0,004
	I- ntdll.dll!Ldll!LdrlnitializeThunk	30	0,238
	I- Nightmares.exe!_algThreadJobQueueInit	12	0,164
	▶  -?!?	2	0,012
	I- Nightmares.exeldefault_realloc_ex	1	0,012
	I- Nightmares.exelGeo::AnsiAllocator::Allocate	1	0,008
	I- ntdll.dll!KiUserCallbackDispatcherContinue	2	0,008

Most of the allocations made on Unity's main thread will go through the MemoryManager. We don't need to pay attention to these, as we can see all of their details in Unity's internal memory profiler. Let's filter these allocations out:

Commit Stack		Impacting Size (MB) 🚆
I     Nightmares.exelPlayerLoop	44	3,754
I     Nightmares.exelBackgroundJobQueue::Initialize	32	0,438
I       Nightmares.exelPostLateUpdate_FinishFrameRendering	2	0,047
I       Nightmares.exelAudioModule::Update	1	0,008
▶    -Nightmares.exelLoadMono	115	4,160
▼      - Nightmares.exelPlayerLoadFirstScene	49	3,969
Nightmares.exelPlayerStartFirstScene	49	3,969
Nightmares.exe!RuntimeSceneManager::LoadScene	49	3,969
Nightmares.exe!PreloadManager::WaitForAllAsyncOperationsToComplete	49	3,969
I      - Nightmares.exelLoadSceneOperation::IntegrateMainThread	45	3,793
Nightmares.exe!LoadSceneOperation::PlayerLoadSceneFromThread	45	3,793
Nightmares.exelLoadSceneOperation::CompleteAwakeSequence	45	3,793
I       - Nightmares.exelPostLoadSceneStatic_LightmapSettings	23	3,531
Nightmares.exelEnlightenRuntimeManager::SyncRuntimeData	23	3,531
Nightmares.exelEnlightenRuntimeManager::SyncRuntimeData	23	3,531
Nightmares.exelEnlightenRuntimeManager::LoadSystemsData	19	3,504
- Nightmares.exelEnlightenRuntimeManager::Prepare	4	0,027
I       - Nightmares.exelAwakeFromLoadQueue::PersistentManagerAwakeFromLoad	22	0,262
I     - Nightmares.exelPreloadManager::UpdatePreloadingSingleStep	4	0,176
I    - Nightmares.exelInitializeEngineGraphics	124	2,055
▼      - Nightmares.exel!nitializeGfxDevice	117	1,930
Nightmares.exelCreateGfxDeviceFromAPIList	117	1,930
Nightmares.exelCreateClientGfxDevice	117	1,930
▼         Nightmares.exelCreateD3D11GfxDevice	113	1,875
I         Nightmares.exellnitializeD3D11	74	1,352
▼             d3d11.dll!D3D11CreateDevice	71	1,336
d3d11.dll!D3D11CreateDeviceImpl	71	1,336
d3d11.dll!D3D11CreateDeviceAndSwapChainImpl	71	1,336
d3d11.dll!D3D11CoreCreateDevice	71	1,336
I I I I I I I I I Additional International Internationa	53	1,195
ddl1.dll!CcreateDeviceCache::CAdapterCache::ResolveUMDAndVersion	18	0,141
▶             Nightmares.exe!CreateDXGIFactory	3	0,016
▼           Nightmares.exelGraphicsCaps::InitD3D11	39	0,523
▶           - d3d11.dll!CDevice::CreateQuery	1	0,316
▶           - dxgi.dll!CDXGIFactory::IsWindowedStereoEnabled	38	0,207
▶       Nightmares.exe!GfxDeviceWorker::Startup	4	0,055
▶       - Nightmares.exelSubstanceSystem::Initialize	4	0,055

1.3MB of memory is allocated by creating a Direct3D 11 device; 300 KB of memory is allocated by creating a Direct3D 11 query; 200KB of memory is allocated because Unity asked DXGIFactory whether it supports stereoscopic rendering; 3.5 MB of memory is allocated by Enlighten global illumination initialization; 4MB is allocated by loading Mono.

Let's look at the rest:

▼  - Nightmares.exe!WinMain	409	10,691
▼    - Nightmares.exe!PlayerWinMain	403	10,652
I    - Nightmares.exe!PlayerInitEngineGraphics	178	4,695
Nightmares.exelPlayerLoadGlobalManagers	178	4,695
▼       - Nightmares.exelPPtr <textrendering::font>::operator TextRendering::Font *</textrendering::font>	153	4,234
Nightmares.exelReadObjectFromPersistentManager	153	4,234
Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::LoadAndIntegrateAllPreallocatedObjects	153	4,234
Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::IntegrateAllThreadedObjects	153	4,234
Nightmares.exelAwakeFromLoadQueue::PersistentManagerAwakeFromLoad	153	4,234
I       - Nightmares.exelMonoManager::AwakeFromLoad	114	3,754
I       Nightmares.exelAudioManager::AwakeFromLoad	39	0,480
I     Nightmares.exelPersistentManager:LoadFileCompletely	20	0,418
I     Nightmares.exelPersistentManager::LoadObjectsThreaded	5	0,043
I    - Nightmares.exelMainMessageLoop	79	4,246
▼       - Nightmares.exelPlayerLoop	44	3,754
I       Nightmares.exelStackAllocator::ManageSize	1	3,004
▼         Nightmares.exe!UI::CanvasManager::WillRenderCanvases	16	0,449
Nightmares.exelScriptingInvocation::Invoke	16	0,449
Nightmares.exelscripting_method_invoke	16	0,449
mono.dll!mono_runtime_invoke	16	0,449
mono.dll!mono_jit_runtime_invoke	16	0,449
	16	0,449
	16	0,449
	16	0,449
	16	0,449
	16	0,449
	16	0,449
	15	0,438
▶          - mono.dll!mono_magic_trampoline	1	0,012
I I I I I I Nightmares.exelPlayerConnection::PollListenMode	8	0,109

Almost 500KB is allocated by scripting code; 3MB goes to Unity's main thread stack allocator (Unity uses it for various temporary data storage); almost 500KB is used by audio initialization, and the rest (around 3.7 MB) is used by **MonoManager::AwakeFromLoad**.

Let's take a look inside **MonoManager::AwakeFromLoad** and see what it does:

Commit Stack	Count _{Sum}	Impacting Size (MB)
Nightmares.exelAwakeFromLoadQueue::PersistentManagerAwakeFromLoad		4,234
▼        - Nightmares.exe!MonoManager::AwakeFromLoad	114	3,754
Nightmares.exe!MonoManager::ReloadAssembly	114	3,754
▼           Nightmares.exe!MonoManager::BeginReloadAssembly	101	3,047
Nightmares.exelMonoManager::LoadAssemblies	101	3,047
▼           - Nightmares.exelScriptingInvocation::Invoke	94	1,629
Nightmares.exelscripting_method_invoke	94	1,629
mono.dll!mono_runtime_invoke	94	1,629
mono.dll!mono_jit_runtime_invoke	94	1,629
▼           - ?!?	85	1,582
	85	1,582
▼                       ?!?	84	1,570
▼	55	1,066
▼                   -?!?	43	0,875
▼                       ?!?	41	0,852
▼                       -?!?	33	0,531
▼	30	0,516
mono.dll!mono_magic_trampoline	30	0,516
mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method	30	0,516
mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_with_opt	30	0,516
mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_inner	30	0,516
mono.dll!mini_method_compile	30	0,516
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	28	0,504
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	2	. 0,012
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I mono.dll!mono_magic_trampoline	3	0,016
I I I I I I I I I I I - mono.dll!mono_magic_trampoline	8	0,320
I I I I I I I I I I - mono.dll!mono_magic_trampoline	2	. 0,023
I I I I I I I I I I Mono_magic_trampoline	12	. 0,191
I I I I I I I I - mono.dll!mono_magic_trampoline	29	0,504
I I I I I I I Mono_magic_trampoline	1	0,012
I I I I I I - mono.dll!mono_jit_compile_method_with_opt	9	0,047
I I I I I I I Nightmares.exelLoadAssemblyWrapper	7	1,418
I         Nightmares.exe!MonoManager::EndReloadAssembly	13	0,707
▼             Nightmares.exe!MonoManager::LoadAssemblies	10	0,680
Nightmares.exe!LoadAssemblyWrapper	10	0,680
I           mono.dll!mono_image_open_from_data_with_name	5	0,473
I I I I I I Mono.dll!mono_debug_open_image_from_memory	5	0,207
I         Nightmares.exelMonoManager::RebuildCommonMonoClasses	3	0,027
I       Nightmares.exelAudioManager::AwakeFromLoad	39	0,480

Those 3.7MB consist of 1.6 MB for code JIT-ing and 2.1MB for managed assembly loading.

The more you know about your application's memory allocation, the easier it will be to solve any related problems that are occurring. An application that is unexpectedly using very large amounts of memory can be analysed in this way to narrow down where the problem might be occurring.